arXiv:1406.1208v2 [math.OA] 5 Sep 2014

CLASSIFICATION THEOREMS FOR CIRCLE ACTIONS ON KIRCHBERG ALGEBRAS, II

EUSEBIO GARDELLA

Abstract. In the first part of this work, we studied circle actions with the Rokhlin property on Kirchberg algebras. Here, we define a continuous analog of the Rokhlin property, asking for a continuous path of unitaries instead of a sequence. We show that circle actions with the continuous Rokhlin property on Kirchberg algebras are classified by the KK-equivalence class of their fixed point algebra, and in the presence of the UCT, by their equivariant K-theory. We moreover characterize the K-theoretical invariants that arise in this way.

As an important technical result, we show that the continuous Rokhlin property implies the existence of a unital completely positive asymptotic homomorphism from the algebra to its fixed point subalgebra, which is moreover a left inverse for the canonical inclusion. As a consequence, we show that the UCT is preserved under formation of crossed products and passage to fixed point algebras by such actions.

Using our classification results for Rokhlin actions of the circle, we show that for large classes of unital C^*-algebras, including all Kirchberg algebras with finitely generated K-theory, the Rokhlin property is in fact equivalent to the continuous Rokhlin property. We show, by means of an example, that the two notions are not equivalent in general, even on Kirchberg algebras that satisfy the UCT.

Contents

1. Introduction 2
2. Background results 4
3. Circle actions with the continuous Rokhlin property 7
4. Asymptotic homomorphisms, K-theoretical obstructions and the UCT 16
5. Existence and uniqueness results for circle actions 24
6. Comparison between the Rokhlin property and the continuous Rokhlin property 28
References 34

Date: September 8, 2014.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46L55, 19K35; Secondary 46L35, 19K33.

Key words and phrases. Conjugacy of group actions, Kirchberg algebra, KK-theory, E-theory, Universal Coefficient Theorem.

This material is based upon work supported by the US National Science Foundation through my thesis advisor's Grant DMS-1101742, and by the the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (SFB 878). Both sources of financial support are gratefully acknowledged.

1. Introduction

This is the second part of our study and classification of circle actions on Kirchberg algebra, which was initiated in [Gar14b]. The reader is referred to the introduction of [Gar14b], and references therein, for some historical background on classification of actions on C^*-algebras.

In [OP12], Osaka and Phillips showed that crossed products and fixed point algebras by finite group actions with the Rokhlin property inherit a number of structural properties of the underlying algebra, using what they call "flexible classes" of C^*-algebras. Intuitively speaking, the Rokhlin property allows one to take averages over the group in such a way that $*$-algebraic identities are approximately respected. It is in this way that we think of the Rokhlin property as the technical condition that makes it possible to average over the group while preserving, at least up to some prescribed error, algebraic relations in the algebra. When this averaging technique is combined with some form of semiprojectivity, as was done in [OP12], one can show that a number of properties of the original algebra pass to the fixed point algebra. This approach can be applied beyond the case of finite group actions to obtain structure results for crossed products by actions with the Rokhlin property. Indeed, analogous results, using appropriately adapted methods, hold for arbitrary compact groups; see [Gar14c].

In this paper, we study this averaging process in more depth, under the assumption that the action have what we shall call the *continuous* Rokhlin property. The advantage of working with a continuous analog of the Rokhlin property lies in the fact that the fixed point algebra by any such action can be shown to be a KK-*retract* of the original algebra, in a sense that will be made precise in Theorem 4.3. Roughly speaking, if $\alpha\colon \mathbb{T} \to \mathrm{Aut}(A)$ is an action with the continuous Rokhlin property, then the KK-theory of A^α is very closely related to that of A. The picture of KK-theory that is most suitable for our purposes is the one obtained by Houghton-Larsen and Thomsen in [HLT99], using completely positive contractive asymptotic homomorphisms.

The difference between the continuous Rokhlin property and the Rokhlin property is similar to (and, in some sense, "the same" as) the difference between approximate innerness and asymptotic innerness for automorphisms of C^*-algebras. In the context of Kirchberg algebras (separable, nuclear, purely infinite, simple C^*-algebras), this amounts to the difference between the functors KL and KK. On the other hand, the main motivation for introducing a continuous analog of the Rokhlin property is to obtain results that are stronger than those obtained in [Gar14b], with the purpose of answering, at least in this more restrictive setting, some questions left open in the first part of our work. Since the continuous Rokhlin property and the Rokhlin property are equivalent for circle actions on Kirchberg algebras with finitely generated K-theory (essentially because KL and KK agree in this context), our results can also be applied to many Rokhlin actions of interest.

We have organized this paper as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the notation and conventions that will be used throughout the paper, and we also recall a number of definitions and results related to equivariant K-theory and the Rokhlin property for circle actions. In Section 3, we introduce the definition of the continuous Rokhlin property for a circle action on a unital C^*-algebra, and develop its basic theory. Our definition of the continuous Rokhlin property is a strengthening of the

Rokhlin property of Hirshberg and Winter from [HW07] that, roughly speaking, asks for a continuous path of unitaries rather than a sequence. (More specifically, it asks for an equivariant embedding of $C(\mathbb{T})$ into the central path algebra, rather than central sequence algebra.) The purpose of introducing this definition is to obtain more rigid classification results than in the case of the Rokhlin property (see Theorem 5.1), and to moreover obtain a complete description of the range of the invariant (see Theorem 5.3). Section 4 contains our most crucial results. First, we show in Corollary 4.5 that if A is a separable, unital C^*-algebra, and $\alpha\colon \mathbb{T} \to \mathrm{Aut}(A)$ is a circle action with the continuous Rokhlin property, then there are isomorphisms $K_0(A) \cong K_1(A) \cong K_0(A^\alpha) \oplus K_1(A^\alpha)$. This result should be compared with Theorem 5.5 in [Gar14b], where we only assume that the action has the Rokhlin property, but where some assumtions on $K_*(A)$ are needed. Second, we show in Theorem 4.8, that under the same assumptions on the C^*-algebra A and the circle action α, the C^*-algebra A satisfies the UCT if and only if A^α does. Both results will follow from the existence of a unital completely positive asymptotic morphism $A \to A^\alpha$ which is a left inverse for the canonical inclusion of A^α in A at the level of KK-theory. See Theorem 4.3.

Section 5 contains the classification result for circle actions with the continuous Rokhlin property in terms of either the KK-equivalence class of the fixed point algebra in the general case, or in terms of the equivariant K-theory in the presence of the UCT. We also provide a complete description of the range of the invariant. Subsection 5.1 contains some comments and results about existence and non-existence of model actions in this context.

Finally, in Section 6 we show that for large classes of C^*-algebras, the continuous Rokhlin property and the Rokhlin property are equivalent for actions of the circle. The classes for which we have confirmed this include the class of separable nuclear $\mathcal{O}_2$-absorbing unital C^*-algebras (see Proposition 6.4), the class of unital Kirchberg algebras with finitely generated K-theory (see Corollary 6.6), and the class of commutative unital C^*-algebras (see Proposition 6.14). The first two results depend on the existent classification theorems of circle actions with the Rokhlin property, while the third one depends on a characterization of circle actions on compact Hausdorff spaces X whose induced action on $C(X)$ has the Rokhlin property (see Theorem 6.9). Finally, it is shown that the continuous Rokhlin property is not equivalent to the Rokhlin property (see Example 6.7), even on Kirchberg algebras satisfying the UCT (see Example 6.8).

Acknowledgements. Most of this work was done while the author was visiting the Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster in the Summer of 2013, and while the author was participating in the Thematic Program on Abstract Harmonic Analysis, Banach and Operator Algebras, at the Fields Institute for Research in Mathematical Sciences at the University of Toronto, in January-June 2014. The author wishes to thank both Mathematics departments for their hospitality and for providing a stimulating research environment.

The author is very grateful to Chris Phillips for several helpful conversations. He would also like to express his gratitude to a number of people, including: Selcuk Barlak for useful conversations concerning the KK-class of an automorphism; Rasmus Bentmann and Ralf Meyer for discussions on classification of actions up to

$KK^{\mathbb{T}}$-equivalence; Masaki Izumi for sharing some unpublished work of his ([Izu14]); Eberhard Kirchberg for valuable feedback; Gábor Szabó for a conversation on the continuous Rokhlin property; and Hannes Thiel for suggesting the problem of characterizing the possible equivariant K-groups arising from circle actions with the Rokhlin property on Kirchberg algebras.

2. Background results

We adopt the convention that $\{0\}$ is not a unital C^*-algebra, this is, we require that $1 \neq 0$ in a unital C^*-algebra. For a C^*-algebra A, we denote by $\mathrm{Aut}(A)$ the automorphism group of A. If A is moreover unital, then $\mathcal{U}(A)$ denotes the unitary group of A. We take $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \ldots\}$.

Definition 2.1. Let A be a unital C^*-algebra and let φ be an automorphism of A.

(1) We say that φ is *approximately inner* if there exists a sequence $(u_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathcal{U}(A)$ such that
$$\varphi(a) = \lim_{n\to\infty} u_n a u_n^*$$
for all a in A.

(2) We say that φ is *asymptotically inner* if there exists a continuous path $(u_t)_{t\in[0,\infty)}$ in $\mathcal{U}(A)$ such that
$$\varphi(a) = \lim_{t\to\infty} u_t a u_t^*$$
for all a in A.

For a locally compact group G, an action of G on A is always assumed to be a *continuous* group homomorphism from G into $\mathrm{Aut}(A)$, unless otherwise stated. Of special importance will be the action $\mathtt{Lt}\colon G \to \mathrm{Aut}(C_0(G))$ induced by left translation on G. In some cases, and if no confusion is likely to arise, we will also denote by $\mathtt{Lt}$ the action of left translation of G on itself. If $\alpha\colon G \to \mathrm{Aut}(A)$ is an action of G on A, then we will denote by A^α its fixed point subalgebra.

For a C^*-algebra A, we set
$$\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, A) = \left\{(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in A^{\mathbb{N}} \colon \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \|a_n\| < \infty\right\};$$
$$c_0(\mathbb{N}, A) = \left\{(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, A) \colon \lim_{n\to\infty} \|a_n\| = 0\right\},$$
and define its *sequence algebra* A_∞ by $A_\infty = \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, A)/c_0(\mathbb{N}, A)$. We identify A with the constant sequences in $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, A)$ and with their image in A_∞. We write $A_\infty \cap A'$ for the *central sequence algebra* of A, that is, the relative commutant of A in A_∞. For a sequence $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in A, we denote by $\overline{(a_n)}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ its image in A_∞.

If $\alpha\colon G \to \mathrm{Aut}(A)$ is an action of G on A, then there are actions of G on A_∞ and on $A_\infty \cap A'$, both denoted by α_∞. Note that unless the group G is discrete, these actions will in general not be continuous.

Given $n \in \mathbb{N}\cup\{\infty\}$, we denote by $\mathcal{O}_n$ the Cuntz algebra with canonical generators $\{s_j\}_{j=1}^n$ satisfying the usual relations (see for example Section 4.2 in [Rør02]).

The circle group will be denoted by $\mathbb{T}$.

2.1. **Equivariant K-theory and crossed products.** One of the goals of this work is to show that equivariant K-theory is a complete invariant for (conjugacy classes of) circle actions on Kirchberg algebras with the continuous Rokhlin property. See Theorem 5.1. We therefore devote this subsection to recalling the definition and some basic facts about equivariant K-theory. A thorough development can be found in [Phi87], whose notation we will follow. We denote the suspension of a C^*-algebra A by SA.

Definition 2.2. Let G be a compact group, let A be a unital C^*-algebra and let $\alpha\colon G \to \mathrm{Aut}(A)$ be an an action of G on A. We denote by $\mathcal{P}_G(A)$ the set of all G-invariant projections in all of the algebras $\mathcal{B}(V) \otimes A$, for all unitary finite dimensional representations $\lambda\colon G \to \mathcal{U}(V)$ of G (the G-action on $\mathcal{B}(V) \otimes A$ is the diagonal action). Note that there is no ambiguity about the tensor product norm on $\mathcal{B}(V) \otimes A$ since V is finite dimensional.

Two G-invariant projections p and q in $\mathcal{P}_G(A)$ are said to be *(equivariantly) Murray-von Neumann equivalent* if there exists a G-invariant element $s \in \mathcal{B}(V, W) \otimes A$ such that $s^*s = p$ and $ss^* = q$. We let $S_G(A)$ denote the set of equivalence classes in $\mathcal{P}_G(A)$ with addition given by direct sum.

We define the *equivariant K_0-group* of A, denoted $K_0^G(A)$, to be the Grothendieck group of $S_G(A)$.

Define the *equivariant K_1-group* of A, denoted $K_1^G(A)$, to be $K_0^G(SA)$, where the action of G on SA is trivial in the suspension direction.

If confusion is likely to arise as to with respect to what action the equivariant K-theory of A is being taken, we will write $K_0^\alpha(A)$ and $K_1^\alpha(A)$ instead of $K_0^G(A)$ and $K_1^G(A)$, respectively.

Remark 2.3. If $\alpha\colon G \to \mathrm{Aut}(A)$ is an action of a compact group G on a unital C^*-algebra A, then the equivariant K-theory of A is a module over the representation ring $R(G)$ of G. (This ring can be identified with $K_0^G(\mathbb{C})$, with the operation given by tensor product.) Tensor product of representations determines an additive map $R(G) \times \mathcal{P}_G(A) \to \mathcal{P}_G(A)$ which is easily seen to induce an operation $R(G) \times K_0^G(A) \to K_0^G(A)$ that makes $K_0^G(A)$ into an $R(G)$-module. One defines the $R(G)$-module structure on $K_1^G(A)$ analogously, using suspensions.

The following result is Julg's Theorem (Theorem 2.6.1 in [Phi87]).

Theorem 2.4. Let $\alpha\colon G \to \mathrm{Aut}(A)$ is an action of a compact group G on a unital C^*-algebra A. Then there are natural isomorphisms $K_j^G(A) \cong K_j(A \rtimes_\alpha G)$ for $j = 0, 1$.

2.2. **The Rokhlin property for circle actions.** In this subsection, we recall the main definitions and results from [Gar14b]. Some facts will be used in this paper, and some other facts are included for the sake of comparison with the results we will obtain here.

Definition 2.5. Let A be a unital C^*-algebra and let $\alpha\colon \mathbb{T} \to \mathrm{Aut}(A)$ be a continuous action. We say that α has the *Rokhlin property* if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and every finite subset $F \subseteq A$, there exists a unitary u in A such that

(1) $\|\alpha_\zeta(u) - \zeta u\| < \varepsilon$ for all $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$.
(2) $\|ua - au\| < \varepsilon$ for all $a \in F$.

We now summarize several results from [Gar14b]. We recall a definition first.

Definition 2.6. Let B be a C^*-algebra and let β be an automorphism of B. Then β is said to be *approximately representable* if there exists a unitary $v \in (M(B)^\beta)^\infty$ such that $\beta(b) = vbv^*$ for all $b \in B$.

Theorem 2.7. (See Proposition 3.6 and Theorem 3.20 in [Gar14b]). Let A be a C^*-algebra and let $\alpha\colon \mathbb{T} \to \mathrm{Aut}(A)$ be an action with the Rokhlin property. Then there exist an approximately representable automorphism $\check{\alpha}$ of A^α and an isomorphism

$$\varphi\colon A^\alpha \rtimes_{\check{\alpha}} \mathbb{Z} \to A$$

such that $\alpha_\zeta = \varphi \circ \widehat{\check{\alpha}}_\zeta \circ \varphi^{-1}$ for all $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$.

Moreover, such a *predual* automorphism $\check{\alpha} \in \mathrm{Aut}(A^\alpha)$ is unique up to cocycle equivalence.

Recall that an extension $0 \to G' \to G \to G'' \to 0$ is said to be *pure* if for every finitely generated subgroup H'' of G/G', if H denotes the preimage of H'' under the canonical quotient map $G \to G/G'$, then the induced extension

$$0 \to G' \to H \to H'' \to 0$$

splits.

Theorem 2.8. (See Theorem 3.16 and Theorem 3.19 in [Gar14b]). Let A be a unital C^*-algebra and let $\alpha\colon \mathbb{T} \to \mathrm{Aut}(A)$ be an action with the Rokhlin property.

(1) The inclusion $\iota\colon A^\alpha \to A$ induces injective group homomorphisms
$$K_j(\iota)\colon K_j(A^\alpha) \to K_j(A)$$
for $j = 0, 1$.
(2) The resulting extensions
$$0 \longrightarrow K_j(A^\alpha) \xrightarrow{K_j(\iota)} K_j(A) \longrightarrow K_{1-j}(A^\alpha) \longrightarrow 0$$
for $j = 0, 1$, obtained from the Pimsner-Voiculescu exact sequence for α, are pure.
(3) If at least one of $K_0(A)$ or $K_1(A)$ is finitely generated, then there are isomorphisms
$$K_0(A) \cong K_1(A) \cong K_0(A^\alpha) \oplus K_1(A^\alpha)$$
such that the class of the unit in $K_0(A)$ is sent to $([1_{A^\alpha}], 0) \in K_0(A^\alpha) \oplus K_1(A^\alpha)$.

Theorem 2.9. (See Theorem 4.4 and Proposition 4.5 in [Gar14b]). Let A be a unital Kirchberg algebra and let $\alpha\colon \mathbb{T} \to \mathrm{Aut}(A)$ be an action with the Rokhlin property. Then A^α is a unital Kirchberg algebra and $\check{\alpha}$ is aperiodic.

Finally, we recall the classification theorem for circle actions with the Rokhlin property on Kirchberg algebras.

Definition 2.10. Let A and B be unital C^*-algebras, and let φ and ψ be automorphisms of A and B respectively. We say that φ and ψ are *KK-conjugate*, if there exists an invertible element x in $KK(A, B)$ such that $[1_A] \times x = [1_B]$ and $KK(\psi) \cdot x = x \cdot KK(\varphi)$.

Theorem 2.11. (See Theorem 4.7 in [Gar14b]). Let A and B be unital Kirchberg algebras, and let $\alpha\colon \mathbb{T} \to \mathrm{Aut}(A)$ and $\beta\colon \mathbb{T} \to \mathrm{Aut}(B)$ be actions with the Rokhlin property. Then α and β are conjugate if and only if $\check{\alpha}$ and $\check{\beta}$ are KK-conjugate.

3. Circle actions with the continuous Rokhlin property

The Rokhlin property, as in Definition 2.5, should be thought of as a "sequential" Rokhlin property. Indeed, assume that A is a separable unital C^*-algebra and let $\alpha\colon \mathbb{T} \to \mathrm{Aut}(A)$ be an action with the Rokhlin property. Let $(F_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be an increasing family of finite subsets of A whose union is dense in A, and for every $\varepsilon_n = \frac{1}{n}$, choose a unitary $u_n \in \mathcal{U}(A)$ such that

- $\|\alpha_\zeta(u_n) - \zeta u_n\| < \frac{1}{n}$ for all $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$, and
- $\|u_n a - a u_n\| < \frac{1}{n}$ for all $a \in F_n$.

We thus obtain a sequence $(u_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of unitaries in A such that

(1) $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} \|\alpha_\zeta(u_n) - \zeta u_n\| = 0$ uniformly on $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$,
(2) $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} \|u_n a - a u_n\| = 0$ for all $a \in A$.

In fact, it is easy to show that if A is separable, then the Rokhlin property for α is equivalent to the existence of a sequence of unitaries in A satisfying (1) and (2) above.

It is therefore natural to consider the strengthening of the Rokhlin property in which one asks for a continuous path $(u_t)_{t\in[1,\infty)}$ of unitaries satisfying conditions analogous to (1) and (2) above. We call it the *continuous* Rokhlin property, and present its precise definition below.

Definition 3.1. Let A be a unital C^*-algebra, and let $\alpha\colon \mathbb{T} \to \mathrm{Aut}(A)$ be an action of $\mathbb{T}$ on A. We say that α has the *continuous Rokhlin property* if there exists a continuous path $(u_t)_{t\in[1,\infty)}$ of unitaries in A such that

(1) $\lim\limits_{t\to\infty} \|\alpha_\zeta(u_t) - \zeta u_t\| = 0$ uniformly on $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$,
(2) $\lim\limits_{t\to\infty} \|u_t a - a u_t\| = 0$ for all $a \in A$.

Remarks 3.2. We have the following easy observations:

(1) It is immediate that if a circle action has the continuous Rokhlin property, then it has the Rokhlin property.
(2) It is also clear that condition (2) in Definition 3.1 is satisfied for all a in A if and only if it is satisfied for all elements of some generating set. This easy observation will be used repeatedly and without reference.

In view of the first of the remarks above, an obvious question is whether the continuous Rokhlin property is actually equivalent to the Rokhlin property. We address this question in detail in Section 6. There, it is shown that, while this is indeed the case for a number of classes of C^*-algebras (see Proposition 6.4, Corollary 6.6 and Proposition 6.14), it is not true in full generality, even on Kirchberg algebras that satisfy the UCT (see Example 6.7 and Example 6.8).

We begin by developing some of the basic theory for actions satisfying the continuous Rokhlin property.

Proposition 3.3. Let A be a unital C^*-algebra and let $\alpha\colon \mathbb{T} \to \mathrm{Aut}(A)$ be an action with the continuous Rokhlin property. If $\beta\colon \mathbb{T} \to \mathrm{Aut}(B)$ is any action of $\mathbb{T}$ on a unital C^*-algebra B, then the tensor product action $\zeta \mapsto \alpha_\zeta \otimes \beta_\zeta$ of $\mathbb{T}$ on $A \otimes B$, for any C^*-tensor product on which it is defined, has the continuous Rokhlin property.

Proof. Choose a continuous path $(u_t)_{t\in[1,\infty)}$ of unitaries in A as in the definition of continuous Rokhlin property for α. For $t \in [1,\infty)$, set $v_t = u_t \otimes 1$, which is a unitary in $A \otimes B$. For $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$, we have

$$\|(\alpha \otimes \beta)_\zeta(v_t) - \zeta v_t\| = \|(\alpha_\zeta(u_t) \otimes \beta_\zeta(1)) - \zeta(u_t \otimes 1)\| = \|\alpha_\zeta(u_t) - \zeta u_t\|,$$

and thus $\lim_{t\to\infty} \|(\alpha \otimes \beta)_\zeta(v_t) - \zeta v_t\| = 0$ uniformly on $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$, and condition (1) of Definition 3.1 is satisfied. To check condition (2), let $x \in A \otimes B$ and assume that $x = a \otimes b$ for some a in A and some b in B. (Note that such elements generate $A \otimes B$.) Then

$$\|v_t x - x v_t\| = \|(u_t a - a u_t) \otimes b\| \leq \|u_t a - a u_t\| \|b\| \to 0$$

as $t \to \infty$. This finishes the proof. □

We briefly describe what can go wrong when defining the tensor product of two actions (or even just the tensor product of two automorphisms). We are thankful to Chris Phillips for pointing us this issue to us, and for providing the following example.

Let A and B be C^*-algebras, let φ and ψ be automorphisms of A and B respectively, and let $\|\cdot\|_q$ be a C^*-norm on the algebraic tensor product $A \otimes_{alg} B$. There is in general no reason why the tensor product automorphism $\varphi \otimes \psi$ of $A \otimes_{alg} B$ should extend to the completion $\overline{A \otimes_{alg} B}^{\|\cdot\|_q} = A \otimes_q B$. For example, choose A_0 and B such that $A_0 \otimes_{max} B$ and $A_0 \otimes_{min} B$ are not isomorphic. Set $A = A_0 \oplus A_0$ and define the flip automorphism φ on A, sendining (a, b) to (b, a) for (a, b) in A. Let $\|\cdot\|_q$ be the C^*-norm on $A \otimes_{alg} B$ such that

$$A \otimes_q B \cong (A_0 \otimes_{max} B) \oplus (A_0 \otimes_{min} B).$$

It is straightforward to check that the automorphism $\varphi \otimes \mathrm{id}_B$ of the algebraic tensor product, does not extend to its completion with respect to $\|\cdot\|_q$. Note that the automorphism φ, when regarded as an action of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ on A, has the Rokhlin property.

We point out that automorphisms, and more generally, actions of locally compact groups, always extend to the maximal and minimal tensor products. In particular, if one of the factors is nuclear, then no issues like the one exhibited above can possibly arise.

Although we will not make use of the next proposition here, we present it to illustrate the difference between the Rokhlin property and the continuous Rokhlin property. Some technical condition seems to be necessary to show that the continuous Rokhlin property passes to direct limits, although we do not have an example that shows that the result may fail otherwise. The main difference with Proposition 3.3 in [Gar14a] is that one cannot in general get a continuous path of unitaries using a diagonal argument.

Proposition 3.4. Let A be a unital C^*-algebra. Suppose that $A = \varinjlim(A_n, \iota_n)$ is a direct limit of unital C^*-algebras with unital maps, and that $\alpha\colon \mathbb{T} \to \mathrm{Aut}(A)$ is an action obtained as the direct limit of actions $\alpha^{(n)}\colon \mathbb{T} \to \mathrm{Aut}(A_n)$, such that $\alpha^{(n)}$ has the continuous Rokhlin property for all n. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $(u_t^{(n)})_{t\in[1,\infty)}$ be a continuous path of unitaries as in the definition of continuous Rokhlin property for $\alpha^{(n)}$. Assume that there exists a strictly increasing sequence $(t_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in $[1,\infty)$

with $\lim_{n\to\infty} t_n = \infty$ such that $\iota_n(u_{t_n}^{(n)}) = u_{t_{n+1}}^{(n+1)}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then α has the continuous Rokhlin property.

Proof. We define a continuous path $(u_t)_{t\in[1,\infty)}$ of unitaries in A via

$$u_t = \begin{cases} \iota_{1,\infty}(u_t^{(1)}), & \text{for } t \in [0, t_1] \\ \iota_{2,\infty}(u_t^{(2)}), & \text{for } t \in [t_1, t_2] \\ \vdots \end{cases}$$

We claim that $(u_t)_{t\in[1,\infty)}$ is a continuous path of Rokhlin unitaries for α. It is easy to see that for $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$, we have

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \|\alpha_\zeta(u_t) - \zeta u_t\| = 0$$

and that the convergence is uniform on $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$. On the other hand, given $a \in A$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, find $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $b \in A_N$ such that $\|\iota_{N,\infty}(b) - a\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_t a - a u_t\| &\leq \|u_t a - u_t \iota_{N,\infty}(b)\| + \|u_t \iota_{N,\infty}(b) - \iota_{N,\infty}(b) u_t\| + \|\iota_{N,\infty}(b) u_t - a u_t\| \\ &< \varepsilon + \|u_t \iota_{N,\infty}(b) - \iota_{N,\infty}(b) u_t\| \end{aligned}$$

and hence $\lim_{t\to\infty} \|u_t a - a u_t\| \leq \varepsilon$. Since ε is arbitrary, this proves the claim. This finishes the proof of the proposition. □

Example 3.5. Let $\alpha\colon \mathbb{T} \to \mathrm{Aut}(C(\mathbb{T}))$ be the action by left translation. Then α has the continuous Rokhlin property. Simply take $u_t(\zeta) = \zeta$ for all $t \in [1, \infty)$ and all $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$.

The following example is similar to Example 4.1 in [Gar14a]. Showing that it has the continuous Rokhlin property requires some work. Unfortunately, we cannot apply Proposition 3.4 in this example since it is not clear how to choose the continuous paths of Rokhlin unitaries to satisfy its hipotheses.

Example 3.6. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $A_n = C(\mathbb{T}) \otimes M_{2^n}$, which we identify with $C(\mathbb{T}, M_n)$ when necessary. Consider the action $\alpha^{(n)}\colon \mathbb{T} \to \mathrm{Aut}(A_n)$ given by $\alpha^{(n)}(\zeta)(f)(w) = f(\zeta^{-1}w)$ for $\zeta, w \in \mathbb{T}$ and f in $C(\mathbb{T}, M_{2^n})$. In other words, $\alpha^{(n)}$ is the tensor product of the regular representation of $\mathbb{T}$ with the trivial action on M_{2^n}. Then $\alpha^{(n)}$ has the continuous Rokhlin property by Lemma 3.3 and Example 3.5.

We construct a direct limit algebra $A = \varinjlim(A_n, \iota_n)$ as follows. Fix a countable dense subset $X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots\} \subseteq \mathbb{T}$. With $f_x(\zeta) = f(x^{-1}\zeta)$ for $f \in A_n$, $x \in X$ and $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$, define maps $\iota_n\colon A_n \to A_{n+1}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by

$$\iota_n(f) = \begin{pmatrix} f & 0 \\ 0 & f_{x_n} \end{pmatrix} \quad f \in A_n.$$

The limit algebra $A = \varinjlim(A_n, \iota_n)$ is a simple unital A$\mathbb{T}$-algebra.

Moreover, it is clear that $\left(\alpha^{(n)}\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ induces a direct limit action $\alpha = \varinjlim \alpha^{(n)}$ of $\mathbb{T}$ on A. We claim that α has the continuous Rokhlin property. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, write $x_n = e^{2\pi i s_n}$ for some $s_n \in \mathbb{R}$. Define a homotopy $H^{(n)}\colon [0,1] \to M_{2^{n+1}}(C(\mathbb{T}))$ between $\iota_n(z \otimes 1_{M_{2^n}})$ and $z \otimes 1_{M_{2^{n+1}}}$ by

$$H_t^{(n)}(\zeta) = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta & 0 \\ 0 & x_n^{-1} e^{2\pi i t s_n} \zeta \end{pmatrix} \otimes 1_{M_{2^n}}$$

for all $t \in [0,1]$ and all $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$. Note that $H_t^{(n)}$ commutes with $\iota_n(A_n)$ for all $t \in [0,1]$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Define a continuous path $(u_t)_{t\in[1,\infty)}$ of unitaries in A by

$$u_t = \begin{cases} \iota_{1,\infty}(u_t^{(1)}), \text{ for } t \in [0, \frac{1}{2}] \\ \iota_{2,\infty}(H_{2t-1}^{(1)}), \text{ for } t \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1] \\ \iota_{2,\infty}(u_t^{(2)}), \text{ for } t \in [1, 3/2] \\ \iota_{3,\infty}(H_{2t-3}^{(2)}), \text{ for } t \in [3/2, 2] \\ \vdots \end{cases}$$

We claim that $(u_t)_{t\in[1,\infty)}$ is a continuous path of Rokhlin unitaries for α. It is easy to check that $\alpha_\zeta(u_t) = \zeta u_t$ for all $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$ and all $t \in [1,\infty)$, since this is true for each of the paths $\left(u_t^{(n)}\right)_{t\in[1,\infty)}$ and for each of the homotopies $H^{(n)}$, for n in $\mathbb{N}$. On the other hand, given $a \in A$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, find $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $b \in A_N$ such that $\|\iota_{N,\infty}(b) - a\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Then

$$\|u_t \iota_{N,\infty}(b) - \iota_{N,\infty}(b) u_t\| = 0$$

for every $t \geq N+1$, since all of the images of the homotopies $H^{(n)}$ and all of the unitaries $(u_t^{(n)})_{t\in[1,\infty)}$, for $n \geq N+1$, commute with the image of A_N in A_n. The rest is a routine application of the triangle inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_t a - a u_t\| &\leq \|u_t a - u_t \iota_{N,\infty}(b)\| + \|u_t \iota_{N,\infty}(b) - \iota_{N,\infty}(b) u_t\| + \|\iota_{N,\infty}(b) u_t - a u_t\| \\ &< \varepsilon + \|u_t \iota_{N,\infty}(b) - \iota_{N,\infty}(b) u_t\| \end{aligned}$$

and hence $\lim_{t\to\infty} \|u_t a - a u_t\| \leq \varepsilon$. Since ε is arbitrary, this proves the claim.

The next result should be thought of as asserting that the action of $\mathbb{T}$ on $C(\mathbb{T})$ by left translation is *continuously* equivariantly semiprojective, in an appropriate sense which we do not make explicit here.

Proposition 3.7. Let A be a unital C^*-algebra and let $\alpha\colon \mathbb{T} \to \mathrm{Aut}(A)$ be a continuous action. Then α has the continuous Rokhlin property if and only if there exists a continuous path $(u_t)_{t\in[1,\infty)}$ of unitaries in A such that

(1) $\alpha_\zeta(u_t) = \zeta u_t$ for all $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$ and all $t \in [1,\infty)$.
(2) $\lim_{t\to\infty} \|u_t a - a u_t\| = 0$ for all $a \in A$.

The definition of the continuous Rokhlin property differs in that in condition (1), one only requires $\lim_{t\to\infty} \|\alpha_\zeta(u_t) - \zeta u_t\| = 0$ uniformly on $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$.

Proof. The argument is a modification of the proof of Proposition 3.3 in [Gar14b]. Choose a path $(v_t)_{t\in[1,\infty)}$ of unitaries in A as in the definition of the continuous Rokhlin property. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\|\alpha_\zeta(v_t) - \zeta v_t\| < \frac{1}{3}$ for all ζ in $\mathbb{T}$ and all t in $[1,\infty)$. Denote by μ the normalized Haar measure on $\mathbb{T}$, and for t in $[1,\infty)$, set

$$x_t = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \overline{\zeta} \alpha_\zeta(v_t) \, d\mu(\zeta).$$

Given t in $[1,\infty)$, one checks that $\|x_t\| \leq 1$ and $\|x_t - v_t\| \leq \frac{1}{3}$. Thus $\|x_t^* x_t - 1\| < 1$, so $x_t^* x_t$ is invertible. Set $u_t = x_t (x_t^* x_t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, which is a unitary in A.

For ζ in $\mathbb{T}$ and t in $[1,\infty)$, it is immediate to check that $\alpha_\zeta(x_t) = \zeta x_t$, and thus

$\alpha_\zeta(u_t) = \zeta u_t$. An application of the triangle inequality shows that $\lim_{t\to\infty} \|u_t a - a u_t\| = 0$ for all a in A. Finally,

$$\|x_t - x_s\| = \left\| \int_{\mathbb{T}} \overline{\zeta} \alpha_\zeta(v_t - v_s) \, d\mu(\zeta) \right\| \leq \|v_t - v_s\|$$

for all t and s in $[1,\infty)$, which shows that the map $t \mapsto x_t$ is continuous. This proves that $t \mapsto u_t$ is also continuous, and hence the path $(u_t)_{t\in[1,\infty)}$ satisfies conditions (1) and (2) of the statement. □

Definition 3.8. Let B be a C^*-algebra and let β be an automorphism of B. We say that β is *asymptotically representable* if there exists a continuous path $(u_t)_{t\in[1,\infty)}$ of unitaries in $M(B)$ such that

(1) $\lim_{t\to\infty} \|\beta(b) - u_t b u_t^*\| = 0$ for all $b \in B$, and
(2) $\lim_{t\to\infty} \|\beta(u_t) - u_t\| = 0$.

Remarks 3.9. We have the following easy observations:

(1) It is immediate that if an automorphism is asymptotically representable, then it is asymptotically inner and approximately representable.
(2) It is also clear that condition (2) in Definition 3.8 is satisfied for all b in B if and only if it is satisfied for all elements of some generating set. This easy observation will be used repeatedly and without reference.

Remark 3.10. Let B be a C^*-algebra and let β be an automorphism of B. One can easily show that β is asymptotically representable if and only if there exists a unitary v in

$$C_b([1,\infty), M(B)^\beta)/C_0([1,\infty), M(B)^\beta)$$

such that $\beta(b) = vbv^*$ for all b in B. We leave the proof as an exercise for the reader. We point out that one does not need to assume the C^*-algebra B to be separable, unlike in the case of approximately representable automorphisms.

We proceed to show that asymptotic representability is the notion dual to the continuous Rokhlin property, in complete analogy with the duality between the Rokhlin property and approximate representability.

Proposition 3.11. Let B be a unital C^*-algebra and let β be an automorphism of B. Consider the dual action $\widehat{\beta}\colon \mathbb{T} \to \mathrm{Aut}(B \rtimes_\varphi \mathbb{Z})$ of $\mathbb{T}$ on the crossed product. Then β is asymptotically representable if and only if $\widehat{\beta}$ has the continuous Rokhlin property.

Proof. Assume that β is asymptotically representable. Let $(u_t)_{t\in[1,\infty)}$ be a continuous path of unitaries in B satisfying

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \|\beta(b) - u_t b u_t^*\| = 0 \ \text{ for all } b \in B \ \text{ and } \ \lim_{t\to\infty} \|\beta(u_t) - u_t\| = 0.$$

Denote by v the canonical unitary in $B \rtimes_\beta \mathbb{Z}$ that implements β. For $t \in [1,\infty)$, set $w_t = u_t^* v$, which is a unitary in $B \rtimes_\beta \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, for ζ in $\mathbb{T}$ we have $\widehat{\beta}_\zeta(w_t) = \zeta w_t$, so condition (1) of Definition 3.1 is satisfied for $\widehat{\beta}$ with $(w_t)_{t\in[1,\infty)}$. To check condition (2), it is enough to consider $a \in B \cup \{v\}$. For a in B, we have

$$w_t a w_t^* = u_t^* v a v^* u_t = u_t^* \beta(a) u_t \to_{t\to\infty} \beta^{-1}(\beta(a)) = a,$$

and hence $\lim_{t\to\infty} \|w_t a - a w_t\| = 0$, as desired. Finally,

$$\|w_t v w_t^* - v\| = \|u_t^* v u_t - v\| = \|v u_t v^* - u_t\| = \|\beta(u_t) - u_t\| \to_{t\to\infty} 0.$$

We conclude that $\widehat{\beta}$ has the continuous Rokhlin property.

Conversely, assume that $\widehat{\beta}$ has the continuous Rokhlin property. Use Proposition 3.7 to choose a continuous path $(w_t)_{t\in[1,\infty)}$ of unitaries in $B \rtimes_\beta \mathbb{Z}$ such that

- $\widehat{\beta}_\zeta(w_t) = w_t$ for all ζ in $\mathbb{T}$ and all t in $[1,\infty)$;
- $\lim_{t\to\infty} \|w_t a - a w_t\| = 0$ for all a in $B \rtimes_\beta \mathbb{Z}$.

For t in $[1,\infty)$, set $u_t = v w_t^*$, which is a unitary in $B \rtimes_\beta \mathbb{Z}$. We claim that u_t belongs to B. For ζ in $\mathbb{T}$, we have $\widehat{\beta}_\zeta(u_t) = u_t$, so u_t belongs to $(B \rtimes_\beta \mathbb{Z})^{\widehat{\beta}} = B$, as desired.

For b in B, we have

$$\|u_t b u_t^* - \beta(b)\| = \|v w_t^* b w_t v^* - v b v^*\| = \|w_t^* b w_t - b\| \to_{t\to\infty} 0.$$

It follows that the continuous path $(u_t)_{t\in[1,\infty)}$ of unitaries in B satisfies the conditions of Definition 3.8, and hence β is asymptotically representable. □

Proposition 3.12. Let A be a unital C^*-algebra, and let $\alpha\colon \mathbb{T} \to \mathrm{Aut}(A)$ be a continuous action. Then α has the continuous Rokhlin property if and only if $\widehat{\alpha}$ is asymptotically representable.

We point out thet we do not require A to be separable, unlike in Proposition 3.7 in [Gar14b].

Proof. Assume that α has the continuous Rokhlin property. By Theorem 2.7, there are an automorphism θ of A^α and an isomorphism between $A \rtimes_\theta \mathbb{Z}$ and A that intertwines the dual action of θ and α. By Proposition 3.11, the automorphism θ is asymptotically representable. Denote by $\lambda\colon \mathbb{T} \to \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{T})))$ the left regular representation of $\mathbb{T}$. Since $\widehat{\widehat{\theta}}$ is conjugate to $\theta \otimes (\mathrm{Ad} \circ \lambda)$, it follows that $\widehat{\widehat{\theta}}$ is asymptotically representable as well. The result now follows since $\widehat{\widehat{\theta}}$ is conjugate to $\widehat{\alpha}$.

The converse is analogous, and is left to the reader. (Compare with Proposition 3.11 in [Gar14b].) □

The next proposition will not be needed until the following section. In contrast to Proposition 3.14, the analogous result for an infinite tensor product is probably not true, although we do not have a counterexample.

Proposition 3.13. Let A and B be unital C^*-algebras, let $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}(A)$ and $\psi \in \mathrm{Aut}(B)$ be asymptotically representable automorphisms of A and B, respectively. Then the automorphism $\varphi \otimes \psi$ of $A \otimes B$, for any tensor product on which it is defined, is asymptotically representable.

Proof. Let $(u_t)_{t\in[1,\infty)}$ and $(v_t)_{t\in[1,\infty)}$ be two continuous paths of unitaries in A and B satisfying the conditions in Definition 3.8 for φ and ψ respectively. For each $t \in [1,\infty)$, set $w_t = u_t \otimes v_t$. Then w_t is a unitary in $A \otimes B$ for all t, and moreover $t \mapsto w_t$ is continuous. We claim that $(w_t)_{t\in[1,\infty)}$ is the desired path of unitaries for $\varphi \otimes \psi$. We have

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty} \|(\varphi\otimes\psi)(u_t \otimes v_t) - u_t \otimes v_t\| \leq \lim_{t\to\infty} (\|\varphi(u_t) - u_t\| + \|\psi(v_t) - v_t\|) = 0,$$

so condition (1) is satisfied. In order to check condition (2), let $x \in A \otimes B$. Since A and B are unital, it follows that $A \otimes B$ is generated by the set

$$\{a \otimes 1 \colon a \in A\} \cup \{1 \otimes b \colon b \in B\}.$$

We may therefore assume that $x = a \otimes 1$ for some a in A. Then

$$\|(\varphi \otimes \psi)(x) - w_t x w_t^*\| = \|\varphi(a) \otimes 1 - u_t a u_t^* \otimes 1\| = \|\varphi(a) - u_t a u_t^*\| \to_{t\to\infty} 0,$$

which completes the proof. □

For the sake of comparison and for later use, we show next that the tensor product of countably many approximately representable automorphisms is again approximately representable.

Lemma 3.14. Let $(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of unital C^*-algebras. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\varphi_n \in \mathrm{Aut}(A_n)$ be an approximately representable automorphism, and let φ be the product type automorphism $\varphi = \bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_n$ of $A = \bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$, for any tensor product on which it is defined. Then φ is approximately representable.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and let $F \subseteq A$ be a finite set. With $m = \mathrm{card}(F)$, write $F = \{a_1, \ldots, a_m\}$. Find $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_1', \ldots, a_m'$ in the finite tensor product $\bigotimes_{j=1}^{N} A_n$ such that $\|a_k - a_k'\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$ for all $k = 1, \ldots, m$. Moreover, for each $k = 1, \ldots, m$, find a positive integer $L_k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_1^{(k,j)}, \ldots, a_{L_k}^{(k,j)} \in A_j$ for $j = 1, \ldots, N$, satisfying

$$\left\| a_k' - \sum_{\ell=1}^{L_k} a_\ell^{(k,1)} \otimes a_\ell^{(k,2)} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_\ell^{(k,N)} \right\| < \varepsilon.$$

Set

$$K = \max\left\{ \left\| a_\ell^{(k,j)} \right\| : k = 1, \ldots, m, j = 1, \ldots, N, \ell = 1, \ldots, L_k \right\}.$$

For each $j = 1, \ldots, N$, choose a unitary $u_j \in \mathcal{U}(A_j)$ such that

- $\left\| \varphi_j(a_\ell^{(k,1)}) - u_j a_\ell^{(k,1)} u_j^* \right\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2NK^{N-1}+2}$ for all $\ell = 1, \ldots, L_k$ and for all $k = 1, \ldots, m$,
- $\|\varphi_j(u_j) - u_j\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2NK^{N-1}+2}$.

Set $u = u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_N \otimes 1 \otimes \cdots \in \mathcal{U}(A)$. For $j = 1, \ldots, m$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\varphi(a_j) - u a_j u^*\| &\leq \left\|\varphi(a_j) - \varphi(a_j')\right\| + \left\|\varphi(a_j') - u a_j' u^*\right\| + \left\|u a_j' u^* - u a_j u^*\right\| \\
&\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \left\| \varphi(a_j') - \varphi\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{L_k} a_\ell^{(k,1)} \otimes a_\ell^{(k,2)} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_\ell^{(k,N)} \right) \right\| \\
&\quad + \left\| \varphi\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{L_k} a_\ell^{(k,1)} \otimes a_\ell^{(k,2)} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_\ell^{(k,N)} \right) \right. \\
&\qquad \left. - u \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{L_k} a_\ell^{(k,1)} \otimes a_\ell^{(k,2)} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_\ell^{(k,N)} \right) u^* \right\| \\
&\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2NK^{N-1}+2} + NK^{N-1} \frac{\varepsilon}{2NK^{N-1}+2} \\
&= \varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, a repeated use of the triangle inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned}\|\varphi(u) - u\| &= \|\varphi_1(u_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \varphi_N(u_N) - u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_N\| \\ &< N\frac{\varepsilon}{2NK^{N-1}+2} < \varepsilon.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, u is an approximately fixed implementing unitary for φ, and thus φ is approximately representable. □

Corollary 3.15. Let $(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of unital C^*-algebras. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let u_n be a unitary in A_n. Let φ be the product type automorphism $\varphi = \bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{Ad}(u_n)$ of $A = \bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$, for any tensor product on which it is defined. Then φ is approximately representable.

Proof. This follows from Lemma 3.14 and the fact that inner automorphisms are approximately representable. □

We return to the main development of the section. The example constructed in Theorem 3.17 below will be needed in the proof of Theorem 5.3 to show that every possible value of the equivariant K-theory can be realized by an action with the continuous Rokhlin property. We introduce a definition from [Nak00] first.

Definition 3.16. (See Theorem 1 in [Nak00].) Let A be a unital C^*-algebra and let φ be an automorphism of A. We say that φ has the *Rokhlin property* if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, for every finite subset $F \subseteq A$ and for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist projections $e_0, \ldots, e_{N-1}$ and $f_0, \ldots, f_N$ in A such that

(1) $\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} e_j + \sum_{k=0}^{N} f_j = 1$
(2) $\|e_j a - a e_j\| < \varepsilon$ and $\|f_k a - a f_k\| < \varepsilon$ for all $j = 0, \ldots, N-1$, for all $k = 0, \ldots, N$ and for all $a \in F$.
(3) $\|\varphi(e_j) - e_{j+1}\| < \varepsilon$ and $\|\varphi(f_k) - f_{k+1}\| < \varepsilon$ for all $j = 0, \ldots, N-1$ and for all $k = 0, \ldots, N$, where e_N is taken to be e_0 and f_{N+1} is taken to be f_0.

It is easy to show that an automorphism with the Rokhlin property is aperiodic, meaning that none of its powers is inner. In [Nak00], Nakamura showed that an automorphism of a unital Kirchberg algebra is aperiodic *if and only if* it has the Rokhlin property.

Theorem 3.17. There is an approximately representable automorphism ψ of $\mathcal{O}_\infty$ with the Rokhlin property (and in particular, aperiodic by the comments above). Moreover, the automorphism ψ can be chosen to be asymptotically representable.

Proof. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, choose a unital embedding $\varphi_n \colon M_n \oplus M_{n+1} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_\infty$ such that if $e \in M_n$ and $f \in M_{n+1}$ are rank one projections, then $\varphi_n((e,0)) = p$ with $[p] = 1$ in $K_0(\mathcal{O}_\infty) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and $\varphi_n((0,f)) = q$ with $[q] = -1$ in $K_0(\mathcal{O}_\infty)$. Consider the

permutation unitary

$$u_n^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \ddots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in M_n.$$

Then $u_n = \varphi_n\left(u_n^{(1)}, u_{n+1}^{(1)}\right)$ is a unitary in $\mathcal{O}_\infty$ with the property that there are two towers $e_0, \ldots, e_{n-1}$ and $f_0, \ldots, f_n$ of projections in $\varphi_n(M_n \oplus 0) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_\infty$ and $\varphi_n(0 \oplus M_{n+1}) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_\infty$, respectively, such that

(1) $\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} e_j + \sum_{k=0}^{n} f_k = 1$,
(2) with $e_n = e_0$, we have $\mathrm{Ad}(u_n)(e_j) = e_{j+1}$ for all $j = 0, \ldots, n-1$, and
(3) with $f_{n+1} = f_0$, we have $\mathrm{Ad}(u_n)(f_k) = f_{k+1}$ for all $k = 0, \ldots, n$.

Set $\varphi = \bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{Ad}(u_n)$, which defines an automorphism of $\bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{O}_\infty \cong \mathcal{O}_\infty$. We claim that φ is aperiodic (and hence has the Rokhlin property thanks to Nakamura's result). Assume that $\varphi^m = \mathrm{Ad}(u)$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and some $u \in \mathcal{U}\left(\bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{O}_\infty\right)$. Given $\varepsilon < 1$, let $M \in \mathbb{N}$ and $v \in \mathcal{U}\left(\mathcal{O}_\infty^{\otimes M}\right)$ such that $\|u - v\| < \varepsilon$. Choose $N > \max\{m, M\}$ and find towers $e_0, \ldots, e_{N-1}$ and $f_0, \ldots, f_N$ of nonzero projections in $\mathcal{O}_\infty$ such that, with

$$e_j' = 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1 \otimes e_j \otimes 1 \otimes \cdots \in \bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{O}_\infty \quad \text{and} \quad f_k' = 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1 \otimes f_k \otimes 1 \otimes \cdots \in \bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{O}_\infty$$

for $j = 0, \ldots, N-1$ and for $k = 0, \ldots, N$, the following hold:

(1) $\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} e_j' + \sum_{k=0}^{n} f_k' = 1$,
(2) with $e_n' = e_0'$, we have $\varphi(e_j') = e_{j+1}'$ for all $j = 0, \ldots, n-1$, and
(3) with $f_{n+1}' = f_0'$, we have $\varphi(f_k') = f_{k+1}'$ for all $k = 0, \ldots, n$.

In particular,

$$\varphi^m(e_0') = e_{m-1}' \quad \text{and} \quad e_0' e_{m-1}' = 0.$$

It moreover follows that e_0' and e_{m-1}' commute with v, and hence

$$2 = \|e_0' - e_{m-1}'\| = \|e_0' - u e_0' u^*\| \leq \|e_0' - v e_0' v^*\| + 2\|u - v\| = 2\varepsilon < 2,$$

which is a contradiction. This shows that φ^m is not inner. Since m is arbitrary, it follows that φ is aperiodic.

Since φ is the direct limit of the inner automorphisms $\varphi_k = \mathrm{Ad}\left(\bigotimes_{n=1}^{k} u_n\right)$ for k in $\mathbb{N}$, it follows from Corollary 3.15 that it is approximately representable.

Finally, we claim that φ is asymptotically representable. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, set $\widetilde{u}_n = u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_n$, which is a unitary in $\mathcal{O}_\infty$. Note that $\varphi = \varinjlim \mathrm{Ad}(\widetilde{u}_n)$ and that $\varphi(\widetilde{u}_n) = \widetilde{u}_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, in order to show that φ is asymptotically representable, it will be enough to show that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the unitary $\widetilde{u}_n$ can be connected to $\widetilde{u}_{n+1}$ by a path of unitaries within the fixed point algebra of φ. For this, it will be enough to show that each u_n is connected to the unit of $\mathcal{O}_\infty$ *within* $\varphi_n(M_n \oplus M_{n+1})$ by a

path of unitaries that are fixed by $\mathrm{Ad}(u_n)$, this is, a path of unitaries that commute with u_n. It is easily seen that the set of elements in $M_n \oplus M_{n+1}$ which commute with $\left(u_n^{(1)}, u_{n+1}^{(1)}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^n \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$. Since the unitary group of this C^*-algebra is connected, this shows that φ is asymptotically representable. □

Remark 3.18. Adopt the notation of Theorem 3.17 above. Using the Pimsner-Voiculescu exact sequence for ψ, the K-theory of $\mathcal{O}_\infty \rtimes_\psi \mathbb{Z}$ is easily seen to be

$$K_0(\mathcal{O}_\infty \rtimes_\psi \mathbb{Z}) \cong K_1(\mathcal{O}_\infty \rtimes_\psi \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z},$$

with $[1_{\mathcal{O}_\infty \rtimes_\psi \mathbb{Z}}] = 1$ in $K_0(\mathcal{O}_\infty \rtimes_\psi \mathbb{Z})$.

Corollary 3.19. Let A be a unital C^*-algebra such that $A \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty \cong A$. Then there exists a asymptotically representable, aperiodic automorphism of A.

Proof. Let $\phi\colon A \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty \to A$ be an isomorphism. Use Theorem 3.17 to choose an asymptotically representable, aperiodic automorphism ψ of $\mathcal{O}_\infty$. Corollary 3.13 then shows that $\phi \circ (\mathrm{id}_A \otimes \psi) \circ \phi^{-1}$ is an asymptotically representable automorphism of A, and it is clearly aperiodic. □

Proposition 3.20. Let A be a unital Kirchberg algebra, and let φ be an aperiodic, KK-trivial automorphism of A. Then φ is asymptotically representable and its dual action is an action of the circle on a unital Kirchberg algebra with the continuous Rokhlin property. Moreover, any two such automorphisms are cocycle conjugate.

Proof. Most of the work has already been done. Since A absorbs $\mathcal{O}_\infty$ by Theorem 3.15 in [KP00], we can use Corollary 3.19 to choose an asymptotically representable, aperiodic automorphism ψ of A. It follows from Theorem 5 in [Nak00] that φ and ψ are cocycle conjugate, and hence φ is asymptotically representable. It follows from Proposition 3.11 that the dual action of φ has the continuous Rokhlin property. Finally, it is well-known that crossed products by aperiodic automorphisms preserve unital Kirchberg algebras. (See Corollary 4.6 in [JO98] for preservation of pure infiniteness in the simple case.)

Uniqueness up to conjugacy follows from Theorem 5 in [Nak00]. □

4. Asymptotic homomorphisms, K-theoretical obstructions and the UCT

The goal of this section is to prove two crucial results. First, we will show in Corollary 4.5 that if A is a separable, unital C^*-algebra, and $\alpha\colon \mathbb{T} \to \mathrm{Aut}(A)$ is an action with the continuous Rokhlin property, then there are isomorphisms

$$K_0(A) \cong K_1(A) \cong K_0(A^\alpha) \oplus K_1(A^\alpha).$$

(Compare with Theorem 5.5 in [Gar14b], here reproduced as Theorem 2.8, where we only assumed that the action has the Rokhlin property, but where some assumptions on $K_*(A)$ were needed.) Second, we will show that, under the same assumptions, the C^*-algebra A satisfies the UCT if and only if A^α satisfies the UCT; see Theorem 4.8. Both results will follow from the existence of an asymptotic morphism $A \to A^\alpha$ which is a left inverse for the canonical inclusion $A^\alpha \to A$ at the level of KK-theory. See Theorem 4.3 below. We therefore begin by recalling the definition of asymptotic morphisms from [CH90], and that of a completely positive contractive asymptotic morphism from [HLT99].

Definition 4.1. Let A and B be C^*-algebras. An *asymptotic morphism* from A to B, is a family $\psi = (\psi_t)_{t\in[1,\infty)}$ of maps $\psi_t\colon A \to B$, satisfying the following conditions:

(1) For every a in A, the map $[1,\infty) \to B$ given by $t \mapsto \psi_t(a)$ is continuous.
(2) For every λ in $\mathbb{C}$ and every a and b in A, we have

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \|\psi_t(\lambda a + b) - \lambda\psi_t(a) - \psi_t(b)\| = 0,$$
$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \|\psi_t(ab) - \psi_t(a)\psi_t(b)\| = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t\to\infty} \|\psi_t(a^*) - \psi_t(a)^*\| = 0.$$

Let $\psi = (\psi_t)_{t\in[0,\infty)}\colon A \to B$ be an asymptotic morphism. We say that ψ is *completely positive* (respectively, *unital*, or *contractive*), if there exists $t_0 \in [0,\infty)$ such that ψ_t is completely positive (respectively, unital, or contractive) for all $t \geq t_0$.

It is clear that a unital, completely positive asymptotic morphism is contractive.

Remark 4.2. E-theory was introduced by Connes and Higson in [CH90], using a suitable equivalence between asymptotic morphisms between C^*-algebras. Despite coinciding when the first variable is nuclear, E-theory and KK-theory do not in general agree. Even more, there are C^*-algebras that satisfy the UCT in E-theory, but do not satisfy the UCT (in KK-theory); see [Ska91] (we are thankful to Rasmus Bentmann for providing this reference).

On the other hand, Theorem 4.2 in [HLT99] asserts that if one only considers *completely positive contractive* asymptotic morphisms, and carries out the construction used to define E-theory, the object one obtains is canonically isomorphic to KK-theory. We will use this fact in Corollary 4.4.

It should be pointed out that the arguments in this section can be simplified if one is only interested in *nuclear* C^*-algebras, since in this case E-theory agrees with KK-theory. In fact, in [Sza14], Szábo has provided a shorter proof of the implication (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) of Theorem 4.8 under the additional assumption that the algebra A be nuclear. (The extra condition is needed to deduce the UCT from the E-theoretic version of the UCT.)

Our approach, despite being more technical, requires only minimal assumptions. Moreover, some of the arguments are needed elsewhere.

Given a real number t, we denote

$$\lfloor t \rfloor = \max\{n \in \mathbb{Z}\colon n \leq t\}.$$

Theorem 4.3. Let A be a unital separable C^*-algebra and let $\alpha\colon \mathbb{T} \to \mathrm{Aut}(A)$ be an action with the continuous Rokhlin property. Denote by $\iota\colon A^\alpha \to A$ the canonical inclusion. Then there exists a unital completely positive asymptotic morphism $\psi = (\psi_t)_{t\in[0,\infty)}\colon A \to A^\alpha$ satisfying

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \|(\psi_t \circ \iota)(a) - a\| = 0$$

for all a in A^α.

Proof. Let $(F_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be an increasing family of compact subsets of A such that $\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} F_n$ is dense in A. Upon replacing F_n with $\bigcup_{\zeta\in\mathbb{T}} \alpha_\zeta(F_n)$, we may assume that F_n is invariant under α for all n in $\mathbb{N}$. Fix t in $[0,\infty)$, and choose $\delta_t > 0$ such that

whenever ζ_1 and ζ_2 in $\mathbb{T}$ satisfy $|\zeta_1 - \zeta_2| < \delta_t$, then

$$\|\alpha_{\zeta_1}(a) - \alpha_{\zeta_2}(a)\| < \frac{1}{2t}$$

for all a in $F_{\lfloor t \rfloor}$. Let N_t be a positive integer such that $N_t > \frac{2}{\delta_t}$ and let $f^{(t)}$ be a continuous function on $\mathbb{T}$ whose support is contained in the interval of radius $\frac{1}{N_t}$ around 1 and such that

- $0 \leq f^{(t)} \leq 1$;
- With $\zeta_j^{(t)} = e^{\frac{2\pi i j}{N_t}}$ for $j = 0, \ldots, N_t - 1$, set $f_j^{(t)} = \mathtt{Lt}_{\zeta_j^{(t)}}(f^{(t)})$. Then the family $\left\{f_j^{(t)}\right\}_{j=0}^{N_t-1}$ is a partition of unity on $\mathbb{T}$.

We assume further that the graph of the function $f^{(t)}$ is a symmetric triangle whose base is centered at 1. This assumption is not strictly necessary, but it is made to give an explicit description of the relevant homotopy below.

Use Proposition 3.7 to find a continuous path $(u_s)_{s\in[1,\infty)}$ of unitaries in A such that

- $\alpha_\zeta(u_s) = \zeta u_s$ for all ζ in $\mathbb{T}$ and all s in $[0,\infty)$;
- $\lim\limits_{s\to\infty} \|u_s a - a u_s\| = 0$ for all a in A.

Fix t in $[1,\infty)$, and choose s_t in $[0,\infty)$ satisfying

$$\left\|f^{(t)}(u_{s_t})a - a f^{(t)}(u_{s_t})\right\| < \frac{1}{t} \quad \text{and} \quad \left\|(f^{(t)})^{\frac{1}{2}}(u_{s_t})a - a(f^{(t)})^{\frac{1}{2}}(u_{s_t})\right\| < \frac{1}{t}$$

for all a in $F_{\lfloor t \rfloor}$. Abbreviate u_{s_t} to $u^{(t)} = u_{s_t}$.

Denote by μ the normalized Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{T}$, and by $E\colon A \to A^\alpha$ the standard conditional expectation, which is given by

$$E(a) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \alpha_\zeta(a)\ d\mu(\zeta)$$

for all a in A. Define a unital completelyi positive linear map $\sigma_t\colon A \to A^\alpha$ by

$$\sigma_t(a) = E\left(\sum_{j=0}^{N_t-1} f_j^{(t)}(u^{(t)})^{\frac{1}{2}} \alpha_{\zeta_j^{(t)}}(a) f_j^{(t)}(u^{(t)})^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)$$

for all a in A. By Theorem 4.3 in [Gar14b], we have

$$\|\sigma_t(ab) - \sigma_t(a)\sigma_t(b)\| < \frac{1}{t}(\|a\| + \|b\| + 3) \tag{1}$$

for all a and b in $F_{\lfloor t \rfloor}$.

Note that for all λ in $\mathbb{C}$ and for all a and b in A, we have

$$\sigma_t(\lambda a + b) = \lambda\sigma_t(a) + \sigma_t(b) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_t(a^*) = \sigma_t(a)^*$$

for all t in $[0,\infty)$, and

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \|\sigma_t(ab) - \sigma_t(a)\sigma_t(b)\| = 0.$$

Hence, condition (2) in Definition 4.1 is satisfied. However, condition (1) is not in general satisfied for the family $(\sigma_t)_{t\in[0,\infty)}$, so we cannot conclude that σ is an asymptotic homomorphism.

The strategy will be to "keep" σ_t for integer t, which will be denoted by ψ_n for n in $\mathbb{N}$, and connect these by taking homotopies of the corresponding partitions

of unity $(f_j^{(n)})_{j=0}^{N_n-1}$ in such a way that the multiplicativity of the intermediate averages is controlled by the multiplicativity of the averages at the endpoints.

We make this argument rigorous as follows. Note that for each n in $\mathbb{N}$, if one replaces N_n by a larger integer and takes another partition of unity as above for the larger integer, then the resulting positive linear map satisfies the inequality in (1) for all a in $F_{\lfloor t \rfloor}$, by Theorem 4.3 in [Gar14b]. We may therefore assume, for simplicity of the argument, that N_n is a power of two, and that N_n divides N_{n+1} for every n in $\mathbb{N}$.

Fix n in $\mathbb{N}$. We will construct a homotopy between the linear maps ψ_n and ψ_{n+1}. Since N_{n+1}/N_n is a multiple of 2, we may assume, without loss of generality, that $N_{n+1} = 2N_n$. In the general case, if $N_{n+1}/N_n = 2^k$, then one divides the interval $[0,1]$ in $k-1$ intervals, and performs k homotopies of the same kind as the one we will perform below.

The partition of unity of $\mathbb{T}$ corresponding to ψ_{n+1} has $2N_n$ functions, so the idea will be to construct a homotopy that "splits", in a controlled way, each of the N_n functions appearing in the formula for ψ_n, into two of the functions that appear in the formula of ψ_{n+1}. To be more precise, for $j = 0, \ldots, 2N_n - 1$, set $\zeta_j = e^{\frac{2\pi i j}{N_n}}$, and for $k = 0, \ldots, 2N_n - 1$, set $\zeta'_k = e^{\frac{2\pi i j}{2N_n}}$. (We will not include n explicitly in the notation for the circle elements ζ_j and ζ'_k because n is fixed.) With

$$\left\{ f_0^{(n)}, f_1^{(n)}, \ldots, f_{N_n-1}^{(n)} \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \left\{ f_0^{(n+1)}, f_1^{(n+1)}, \ldots, f_{2N_n-1}^{(n+1)} \right\}$$

denoting the partitions of unity corresponding to ψ_n and ψ_{n+1}, respectively, we will construct "controlled" homotopies

$$f_0^{(n)}(u^{(n)})\alpha_{\zeta_0}(a) \sim \left(f_0^{(n+1)}(u^{(n+1)})\alpha_{\zeta'_0}(a) + f_1^{(n+1)}(u^{(n+1)})\alpha_{\zeta'_1}(a) \right),$$

and similarly with the other functions appearing in the formula for ψ_n. What we mean by "controlled" is that the resulting path $t \mapsto \psi_t$, for t in $[1, \infty)$, will be an asymptotic morphism.

It is enough to find homotopies

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} f_0^{(n)}(u^{(n)})\alpha_{\zeta_0}(a) \sim_h f_0^{(n+1)}(u^{(n+1)})\alpha_{\zeta'_0}(a) \quad \text{and} \\ &\frac{1}{2} f_0^{(n)}(u^{(n)})\alpha_{\zeta_0}(a) \sim_h f_1^{(n+1)}(u^{(n+1)})\alpha_{\zeta'_1}(a), \end{aligned}$$

since the other ones will be obtained by translating appropriately. The assumption that the graphs of the functions $f^{(n)}$ and $f^{(n+1)}$ are symmetric triangles centered at 1 implies that the identity $f^{(n+1)}(\zeta) = f^{(n)}(\zeta^2)$ holds for all ζ in $\mathbb{T}$. We define a homotopy $H \colon [0,1] \times \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{T}$ with $H(0,\zeta) = \frac{1}{2} f^{(n)}(\zeta)$ and $H(1,\zeta) = f^{(n+1)}(\zeta)$ for ζ in $\mathbb{T}$, by

$$H(s,\zeta) = \frac{s+1}{2} f^{(n)}(\zeta^{s+1})$$

for s in $[0,1]$ and ζ in $\mathbb{T}$. Let $G \colon [0,1] \times \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{T}$ satisfying $G(0,\zeta) = \frac{1}{2} f^{(n)}(\zeta)$ and $G(1,\zeta) = f_1^{(n+1)}(\zeta)$ for ζ in $\mathbb{T}$, be constructed analogously (for fixed s, the function $\zeta \mapsto G(s,\zeta)$ will be an appropriate translate of $\zeta \mapsto H(s,\zeta)$). Now, for t in $[n, n+1]$, denote by $H_t \colon \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{T}$ the function given by $H_t(\zeta) = H(t-n, \zeta)$ for ζ in $\mathbb{T}$, and similarly for G_t. For $j = 0, \ldots, 2N_n - 1$, denote by $\zeta_j^{(t)}$ the center of the support of $\mathtt{Lt}_{\zeta_j} G_t$, and note that $t \mapsto \zeta_j^{(t)}$ is a path from ζ_j to ζ'_{2j+1}. For t in $[n, n+1]$ and

a in A, define

$$\psi_t(a) = E\left(\sum_{j=0}^{2N_n-1} (\mathtt{Lt}_{\zeta_j} H_t)(u^{(t)})^{\frac{1}{2}} \alpha_{\zeta_j}(a) (\mathtt{Lt}_{\zeta_j} H_t)(u^{(t)})^{\frac{1}{2}} + \sum_{j=0}^{2N_n-1} (\mathtt{Lt}_{\zeta_j} G_t)(u^{(t)})^{\frac{1}{2}} \alpha_{\zeta_j^{(t)}}(a) (\mathtt{Lt}_{\zeta_j} G_t)(u^{(t)})^{\frac{1}{2}} \right).$$

It is clear that each ψ_t is unital and completely positive.

We claim that the family $\psi = (\psi_t)_{t\in[1,\infty)}$ is an asymptotic homomorphism in the sense of Definition 4.1.

Fix t in $[n, n+1]$. To check approximate multiplicativity, we will verify the hypotheses of Theorem 4.3 in [Gar14b]. Note that the family

$$\big\{\mathtt{Lt}_{\zeta_j} H_t \colon j = 0, \ldots, 2N_n - 1\big\} \cup \big\{\mathtt{Lt}_{\zeta_j} G_t \colon j = 0, \ldots, 2N_n - 1\big\}$$

is a partition of unity of $\mathbb{T}$. Moreover, $(\mathtt{Lt}_{\zeta_j} H_t)(\zeta) \neq 0$ implies $|\zeta - \zeta_j| < \frac{2}{\delta_n}$, and similarly $(\mathtt{Lt}_{\zeta_j} G_t)(\zeta) \neq 0$ implies $|\zeta - \zeta_j^{(t)}| < \frac{2}{\delta_n}$. It follows from the choices of δ_n and $u^{(t)}$, and from Theorem 4.3 in [Gar14b], that

$$\|\psi_t(ab) - \psi_t(a)\psi_t(b)\| < \frac{1}{n}(\|a\| + \|b\| + 3)$$

for all a and b in F_n. In particular,

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \|\psi_t(ab) - \psi_t(a)\psi_t(b)\| = 0$$

for all a and b in A. We conclude that $\psi = (\psi_t)_{t\in[0,\infty)}$ is an asymptotic homomorphism.

It remains to check that ψ is a left inverse of the canonical inclusion of A^α into A. Note first that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \|au^{(t)} - u^{(t)}a\| = 0$$

for all a in A. Hence, the difference in norm between $\psi_t(a)$ and

$$E\left(\sum_{j=0}^{2N_n-1} (\mathtt{Lt}_{\zeta_j} H_t)(u^{(t)}) \alpha_{\zeta_j}(a) + \sum_{j=0}^{2N_n-1} (\mathtt{Lt}_{\zeta_j} G_t)(u^{(t)}) \alpha_{\zeta_j^{(t)}}(a) \right)$$

is negligible as t becomes arbitrarily large, for any a in A. Since the expresion above equals a whenever a is fixed by α, it follows that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \|(\psi \circ \iota)(a) - a\| = 0$$

for a in A^α, which concludes the proof. □

Corollary 4.4. Let A be a unital separable C^*-algebra and let $\alpha \colon \mathbb{T} \to \mathrm{Aut}(A)$ be an action with the continuous Rokhlin property. Let B be any separable C^*-algebra, and denote by $\iota^* \colon KK(A, B) \to KK(A^\alpha, B)$ the group homomorphism induced by the canonical inclusion $\iota \colon A^\alpha \to A$. Then there exists $\psi^* \colon KK(A^\alpha, B) \to KK(A, B)$ such that $\iota^* \circ \psi^* = \mathrm{id}_{KK(A^\alpha,B)}$. In particular,

$$KK(A, B) \cong KK(A^\alpha, B) \oplus \ker(\psi^*).$$

Proof. Recall (see Theorem 4.2 in [HLT99]) that given separable C^*-algebras A and B, the KK-group $KK(A,B)$ is canonically isomorphic to the group of homotopy classes of completely positive asymptotic morphisms $SA \to SB \otimes \mathcal{K}$. The unital completely positive asymptotic morphism $A \to A^\alpha$ constructed in Theorem 4.3 induces a group homomorphism $\psi^*\colon KK(A^\alpha, B) \to KK(A,B)$ which satisfies

$$\iota^* \circ \psi^* = \mathrm{id}_{KK(A^\alpha,B)},$$

since $\psi \circ \iota$ is in fact asymptotically equal to the identity on A^α (not just homotopic). This proves the first claim. The existence of an isomorphism $KK(A,B) \cong KK(A^\alpha,B) \oplus \ker(\psi^*)$ is a standard fact in group theory. □

Using these results, we can show that the ismorphisms $K_0(A) \cong K_1(A) \cong K_0(A^\alpha) \oplus K_1(A^\alpha)$, which were shown to exist when α has the Rokhlin property and either $K_0(A)$ or $K_1(A)$ is finitely generated in Theorem 5.5 in [Gar14b] (here reproduced as Theorem 2.8), exist in full generality if α is assumed to have the continuous Rokhlin property.

Corollary 4.5. Let A be a unital separable C^*-algebra, and let $\alpha\colon \mathbb{T} \to \mathrm{Aut}(A)$ be an action with the continuous Rokhlin property.

(1) There is an isomorphism $\varphi\colon K_0(A^\alpha) \oplus K_1(A^\alpha) \cong K_0(A)$ such that
$$\varphi([1_{A^\alpha}], 0) = [1_A].$$
(2) There is an isomorphism $K_0(A) \cong K_1(A)$.

Proof. (1). By taking $\mathbb{C}$ as the first coordinate and A as the second in the conclusion of Corollary 4.4, we deduce that $K_0(A) \cong K_0(A^\alpha) \oplus \ker(\psi^*)$, where $\psi^*\colon K_0(A) \to K_0(A^\alpha)$ is the group homomorphism induced by the asymptotic morphism $\psi\colon A \to A^\alpha$ given by Theorem 4.3. Moreover, the canonical inclusion $A^\alpha \to A$ induces the above splitting of $K_0(A)$.

Consider the Pimsner-Voiculescu exact sequence for $\check{\alpha}\colon \mathbb{Z} \to \mathrm{Aut}(A^\alpha)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K_0(A^\alpha) & \xrightarrow{1-K_0(\check{\alpha})} & K_0(A^\alpha) & \longrightarrow & K_0(A) \\ \uparrow & & & & \downarrow \\ K_1(A) & \longleftarrow & K_1(A^\alpha) & \xleftarrow[1-K_1(\check{\alpha})]{} & K_1(A^\alpha). \end{array}$$

Since $\check{\alpha}$ acts trivially on K-theory, the above sequence splits into two short exact sequences

$$0 \to K_j(A^\alpha) \to K_j(A) \to K_{1-j}(A^\alpha) \to 0$$

for $j = 0, 1$. Since $\psi^*\colon K_0(A) \to K_0(A^\alpha)$ is a splitting for the map on K_0, and hence it follows that $K_0(A)/K_0(A^\alpha)$ is isomorphic to $K_1(A^\alpha)$. This shows that there is an isomorphism $K_0(A^\alpha) \oplus K_1(A^\alpha) \cong K_0(A)$, which clearly maps $([1_{A^\alpha}], 0)$ to $[1_A]$.

(2). An analogous argument, taking suspensions, shows that the inclusion $A^\alpha \to A$ induces a direct sum decomposition $K_1(A) \cong K_1(A^\alpha) \oplus K_0(A^\alpha)$. Using the first part of this corollary, we conclude that $K_0(A) \cong K_1(A)$. □

Remark 4.6. The argument used in the proof of Corollary 4.5 can be modified in a straightforward manner to show something slightly stronger: under the assumptions there, the C^*-algebra A is KK-equivalent to $A \oplus SA$. Since we do not need this,

and for the sake of brevity, we do not present the proof here. (The nuclear case follows from Theorem 3.1 in [Sza14].)

We can also show that in the presence of the continuous Rokhlin property, the UCT for the underlying algebra is equivalent to the UCT for the fixed point algebra. We begin by defining what exactly it means for a C^*-algebra to "satisfy the UCT".

Definition 4.7. Let A and B be separable C^*-algebras. We say that the pair (A, B) *satisfies the UCT* if the following conditions are satisfied:

(1) The natural map $\tau_{A,B}\colon KK(A,B) \to \mathrm{Hom}(K_*(A), K_*(B))$ defined in [Kas88], is surjective.
(2) The natural map $\mu_{A,B}\colon \ker(\tau_{A,B}) \to \mathrm{Ext}(K_*(A), K_{*+1}(B))$ is an isomorphism.

If this is the case, by setting $\varepsilon_{A,B} = \mu_{A,B}^{-1}\colon \mathrm{Ext}(K_*(A), K_{*+1}(B)) \to KK(A,B)$, we obtain a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}(K_*(A), K_{*+1}(B)) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{A,B}} KK(A,B) \xrightarrow{\tau_{A,B}} \mathrm{Hom}(K_*(A), K_*(B)) \longrightarrow 0,$$

which is natural on both variables because so are $\tau_{A,B}$ and $\mu_{A,B}$.

We further say that A *satisfies the UCT*, if (A, B) satisfies the UCT for every separable C^*-algebra B.

Theorem 4.8. Let A be a unital separable C^*-algebra and let $\alpha\colon \mathbb{T} \to \mathrm{Aut}(A)$ be an action with the continuous Rokhlin property. Then the following are equivalent

(1) A satisfies the UCT;
(2) The crossed product $A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{T}$ satisfies the UCT;
(3) The fixed point algebra A^α satisfied the UCT.

Note that, unlike in Corollary 3.9 in [OP12], we do not assume the algebra A to be nuclear.

Proof. The equivalence between assertions (2) and (3) follows from the fact that $A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{T} \cong A^\alpha \otimes \mathcal{K}(L^2(\mathbb{T}))$ by Corollary 3.12 in [Gar14b]. That (3) implies (1) follows from the fact that $A^\alpha \rtimes_{\check{\alpha}} \mathbb{Z} \cong A$ by Theorem 2.7.

We will prove that (1) implies (3), so assume that A satisfies the UCT. Let B be a separable C^*-algebra. Since A satisfies the UCT, there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}(K_*(A), K_{*+1}(B)) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{A,B}} KK(A,B) \xrightarrow{\tau_{A,B}} \mathrm{Hom}(K_*(A), K_*(B)) \longrightarrow 0,$$

which is natural on both variables. Denote by $\iota\colon A^\alpha \to A$ the canonical inclusion. Use Theorem 4.3 to choose a unital completely positive asymptotic morphism $\psi = (\psi_t)_{t\in[1,\infty)}\colon A \to A^\alpha$ with

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \|(\psi_t \circ \iota)(a) - a\| = 0$$

for all a in A^α. Then ψ induces group homomorphisms

$$\begin{gathered}\mathrm{Ext}(K_*(A^\alpha), K_{*+1}(B)) \to \mathrm{Ext}(K_*(A), K_{*+1}(B)) \\ KK(A^\alpha, B) \to KK(A,B) \\ \mathrm{Hom}(K_*(A^\alpha), K_*(B) \to \mathrm{Hom}(K_*(A), K_*(B),\end{gathered}$$

which we will all denote by ψ^*, that are right inverses of the canonical homomorphisms induced by ι (which we will all denote by ι^*).

The diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Ext}(K_*(A), K_{*+1}(B)) & \xleftarrow{\mu_{A,B}} & \ker(\tau_{A,B}) \\ {\scriptstyle\psi^*}\uparrow \quad \downarrow{\scriptstyle\iota^*} & & {\scriptstyle\psi^*}\uparrow \quad \downarrow{\scriptstyle\iota^*} \\ \mathrm{Ext}(K_*(A^\alpha), K_{*+1}(B)) & \xleftarrow[\mu_{A^\alpha,B}]{} & \ker(\tau_{A^\alpha,B}) \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} KK(A,B) & \xrightarrow{\tau_{A,B}} & \mathrm{Hom}(K_*(A), K_*(B)) \\ {\scriptstyle\psi^*}\uparrow \quad \downarrow{\scriptstyle\iota^*} & & {\scriptstyle\psi^*}\uparrow \quad \downarrow{\scriptstyle\iota^*} \\ KK(A^\alpha,B) & \xrightarrow{\tau_{A^\alpha,B}} & \mathrm{Hom}(K_*(A^\alpha), K_*(B)) \end{array}$$

are easily seen to be commutative, using naturality of all the horizontal maps involved.

We claim that $\mu_{A^\alpha,B}$ is an isomorphism. Since

$$\psi^* \circ \mu_{A^\alpha,B} = \mu_{A,B} \circ \psi^*$$

and $\psi^*, \mu_{A,B}$ and ψ^* are injective, it follows that $\mu_{A^\alpha,B}$ is injective. Surjectivity follows similarly from the identity

$$\mu_{A^\alpha,B} \circ \iota^* = \iota^* \circ \mu_{A,B}$$

and the fact that $\iota^*, \mu_{A,B}$ and ι^* are surjective. The claim is proved.

We now claim that $\tau_{A^\alpha,B}$ is surjective. Given x in $\mathrm{Hom}(K_*(A^\alpha), K_*(B))$, use surjectivity of $\tau_{A,B}$ to choose y in $KK(A,B)$ such that $\tau_{A,B}(y) = \psi^*(x)$. Then

$$(\tau_{A^\alpha,B} \circ \iota^*)(y) = (\iota^* \circ \tau_{A,B})(y) = x,$$

showing that $\tau_{A^\alpha,B}$ is surjective. This proves the claim.

We conclude that (A,B) satisfies the UCT. Since B is arbitrary, it follows that A satisfies the UCT. □

Remark 4.9. Adopt the notation of the theorem above. It is clear that the same argument, verbatim, shows that if A satisfies the E-theoretic version of the UCT, then so do A^α and $A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{T}$.

4.1. **More general compact groups.** In this subsection, we give some indication of how to generalize Theorem 4.8 to actions of more general compact groups with the continuous Rokhlin property, with focus on finite groups. We begin by defining the latter in a way which is convenient for our purposes.

Definition 4.10. Let G be a second-countable compact group, let A be a separable unital C^*-algebra, and let $\alpha\colon G \to \mathrm{Aut}(A)$ be a continuous action. We say that α has the *continuous Rokhlin property* if there exists a unital asymptotic morphism

$$\varphi = (\varphi_t)_{t\in[0,\infty)}\colon C(G) \to A$$

such that

(1) $\lim\limits_{t\to\infty} \sup\limits_{g\in G} \|\varphi_t(\mathtt{Lt}_g(f)) - \alpha_g(\varphi_t(f))\| = 0$ for all $f \in C(G)$.

(2) $\lim_{t\to\infty} \|\varphi_t(f)a - a\varphi_t(a)\| = 0$ for all $a \in A$.

The techniques used in the first part of this section can be adapted to deal with arbitrary second-countable compact groups:

Theorem 4.11. Let G be a second-countable compact group, let A be a separable C^*-algebra, and let $\alpha\colon G \to \mathrm{Aut}(A)$ be an action with the continuous Rokhlin property. If A satisfies the UCT (or its E-theoretic analog), then so do A^α and A.

Again, note that we do not assume the algebra A to be nuclear in the theorem above. We point out that Szabo's argument in [Sza14] also works for metrizable compact groups, and Theorem 2.5 in [Sza14] provides an alternative proof for the E-theory part of our Theorem 4.11.)

The proof Theorem 4.11 is more technical than that of Theorem 4.8, but the argument is identical. Since we do not have any immediate application for it, we omit the proof. For finite groups, however, the proof of Theorem 4.11 takes a much simpler form, which we proceed to sketch.

Assume that $\alpha\colon G \to \mathrm{Aut}(A)$ is an action of a finite group G on a separable, unital C^*-algebra A with the continuous Rokhlin property. Use Definition 4.10, and equivariant semiprojectivity of $(C(G), \mathtt{Lt})$ (see [Phi12]), to choose continuous paths $t \mapsto e_g^{(t)}$ of projections in A, for $g \in G$, satisfying

(1) $\alpha_g(e_h^{(t)}) = e_{gh}^{(t)}$ for all $g, h \in G$ and all $t \in [0,\infty)$;
(2) $\lim_{t\to\infty} \left\| e_g^{(t)} a - a e_g^{(t)} \right\| = 0$ for all $a \in A$;
(3) $\sum_{g\in G} e_g^{(t)} = 1$ for all $t \in [0,\infty)$.

Fix $t \in [0,\infty)$, and consider the linear map $\psi_t\colon A \to A^\alpha$ given by

$$\psi_t(a) = \sum_{g\in G} e_g^{(t)} \alpha_g(a) e_g^{(t)}$$

for $a \in A$. It is easy to check that the range of ψ_t is really contained in A^α, and that ψ_t is unital and completely positive. It is also readily verified that $(\psi_t)_{t\in[0,\infty)}$ is an asymptotic morphism $A \to A^\alpha$, and that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \|\psi_t(a) - a\| = 0$$

for all $a \in A^\alpha$. This proves the analog of Theorem 4.3 in the case of a finite group, and the proof of Theorem 4.11 follows the same argument as that of Theorem 4.8. We omit the details.

5. Existence and uniqueness results for circle actions

In the following theorem, we use equivariant K-theory as the invariant. See Subsection 2.2.

Theorem 5.1. Let A and B be unital Kirchberg algebras, and let $\alpha\colon \mathbb{T} \to \mathrm{Aut}(A)$ and $\beta\colon \mathbb{T} \to \mathrm{Aut}(B)$ be actions with the continuous Rokhlin property.

(1) The actions α and β are conjugate if and only if A^α and B^β are KK-equivalent.

(2) Assume that A and B satisfy the UCT. Then the actions α and β are conjugate if and only if α and β have isomorphic equivariant K-theory, this is, if and only if there is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded $R(\mathbb{T})$-module (with distinguished element) isomorphism

$$(K_0^\alpha(A), [1_A], K_1^\alpha(A)) \cong (K_0^\beta(B), [1_B], K_1^\beta(B)).$$

Proof. (1). It is immediate to verify that if α and β are conjugate via an isomorphism $\theta\colon A \to B$, then θ restricts to an isomorphism between A^α and B^β, and hence these algebras are KK-equivalent.

Conversely, assume that A^α and B^β are KK-equivalent. Use Theorem 4.2.1 in [Phi00] to choose an isomorphism $\phi\colon A^\alpha \to B^\beta$ implementing the equivalence. Denote by $\check{\alpha}$ and $\check{\beta}$ the predual automorphisms of α and β, respectively, given by Theorem 2.7. It follows from Proposition 3.11 that $\check{\alpha}$ and $\check{\beta}$ represent the trivial KK-elements on A^α and B^β respectively. Thus, $\phi \circ \check{\alpha} \circ \phi^{-1}$ and $\check{\beta}$ determine the same KK-class on B^β. Now, A^α and B^β are Kirchberg algebras and $\check{\alpha}$ and $\check{\beta}$ are aperiodic by Theorem 2.9, so it follows from Theorem 5 in [Nak00] that $\phi \circ \check{\alpha} \circ \phi^{-1}$ and $\check{\beta}$ are cocycle conjugate. In other words, $\check{\alpha}$ and $\check{\beta}$ are exterior equivalent, and thus α and β are conjugate by Proposition 2.9 in [Gar14b].

(2). It is immediate to verify that if α and β are conjugate, then their equivariant K-theories are isomorphic as $R(\mathbb{T})$-modules.

Conversely, suppose that there is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded $R(\mathbb{T})$-module isomorphism

$$\psi\colon (K_0^\alpha(A), [1_A], K_1^\alpha(A)) \to (K_0^\beta(B), [1_B], K_1^\beta(B)).$$

By Julg's Theorem, there is a natural group isomorphism $K_*^\alpha(A) \cong K_*(A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{T})$. In addition to this, there is a natural isomorphism $A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{T} \cong A^\alpha \otimes \mathcal{K}(L^2(\mathbb{T}))$ by Corollary 3.12 in [Gar14b]. It follows that there is a natural group isomorphism $K_*^\alpha(A) \cong K_*(A^\alpha)$, this is, the equivariant K-theory for α and the K-theory of its fixed point algebra agree. It is clear that this isomorphism maps $[1_A]$ in $K_0^\alpha(A)$ to $[1_{A^\alpha}]$ in $K_0(A^\alpha)$. Similarly, $K_*^\beta(B) \cong K_*(B^\beta)$ via an isomorphism that sends $[1_B]$ in $K_0^\beta(B)$ to $[1_{B^\beta}]$ in $K_0(B^\beta)$. Since A^α and B^β satisfy the UCT by Theorem 4.8, it follows from Kirchberg-Phillips classification theorem (see, for example, Theorem 4.2.4 in [Phi00]), that A^α and B^β are isomorphic. The result now follows from part (1) of this theorem. This finishes the proof. □

Remark 5.2. It should be noted that the $R(\mathbb{T})$-module structure of the equivariant K-theory was not used in the proof of part (2) of Theorem 5.1. In fact, this module structure is trivial. Indeed, $R(\mathbb{T})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}[x, x^{-1}]$, where the action of x on $K_*^\alpha(A) \cong K_*(A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{T})$ is given by $K_*(\widehat{\alpha})$. The automorphism $\widehat{\alpha}$ is approximately inner by Proposition 3.12, and hence it is trivial on K-theory.

Theorem 5.1 may be regarded as a *uniqueness* theorem. It states that whenever two circle actions with the continuous Rokhlin property on a unital Kirchberg algebra that satisfies the UCT, are conjugate whenever they have the same equivariant K-theory. It is natural to look for existence results, this is, try to answer the following question. What pairs of triples

$$((G_0, g_0, G_1), (H_0, h_0, H_1))$$

where G_0 and G_1 are abelian groups, H_0 and H_1 are $R(\mathbb{T})$-modules, and $g_0 \in G_0$ and $h_0 \in H_0$ are distinguished elements, arise as the K-theory and equivariant K-theory of an action of the circle on a unital Kirchberg algebra with the continuous

Rokhlin property?

We address this existence question in the remainder of the present section, and show that the only possible restrictions are the ones that were already discovered in Corollary 4.5 and Remark 5.2.

Theorem 5.3. Let A be unital Kirchberg algebra that satisfies the UCT. A triple (H_0, h_0, H_1) consisting of $R(\mathbb{T})$-modules H_0 and H_1, together with a distinguished element $h_0 \in H_0$, is the equivariant K-theory of a circle action on A with the continuous Rokhlin property, if and only if the following conditions hold:

(1) The $R(\mathbb{T})$-module structures on H_0 and H_1 are trivial,
(2) There is an isomorphism $K_0(A) \cong K_1(A)$, and
(3) There exists a group isomorphism $\varphi\colon H_0 \oplus H_1 \to K_0(A)$ such that $\varphi(h_0, 0) = [1_A]$.

Proof. Necessity of condition (1) follows from Remark 5.2, and necessity of conditions (2) and (3) follows from Corollary 4.5.

Conversely, assume that $K_0(A) \cong K_1(A)$, and suppose that (H_0, h_0, H_1) satisfies $H_0 \oplus H_1 \cong K_0(A)$ via an isomorphism that sends $(h_0, 0)$ to $[1_A]$. Use Theorem 4.2.5 in [Phi00] to choose a unital Kirchberg algebra B satisfying the UCT such that

$$(K_0(B), [1_B], K_1(B)) \cong (H_0, h_0, H_1).$$

Let $\theta\colon B \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty \to B$ be an isomorphism, and let $\psi \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_\infty)$ be the automorphism constructed in Theorem 3.17. Define an automorphism φ of B by $\varphi = \phi \circ (\mathrm{id}_B \otimes \psi) \circ \phi^{-1}$, and note that φ is asymptotically representable. The crossed product

$$B \rtimes_\varphi \mathbb{Z} \cong (\mathcal{O}_\infty \rtimes_\psi \mathbb{Z}) \otimes B$$

is a unital Kirchberg algebra satisfying the UCT, and the Künneth formula together with Remark 3.18 yield

$$K_0(B \rtimes_\varphi \mathbb{Z}) \cong K_1(B \rtimes_\varphi \mathbb{Z}) \cong K_0(B) \oplus K_1(B) \cong H_0 \oplus H_1,$$

in such a way that the class of the unit in $K_0(B \rtimes_\varphi \mathbb{Z})$ is sent to $(h_0, 0) \in H_0 \oplus H_1$. It follows from the classification of Kirchberg algebras satisfying the UCT that there exists an isomorphism $\gamma\colon B \rtimes_\varphi \mathbb{Z} \to A$.

Denote by $\beta\colon \mathbb{T} \to \mathrm{Aut}(B \rtimes_\varphi \mathbb{Z})$ the dual action of φ. It follows from Proposition 3.11 that β has the continuous Rokhlin property. Let $\alpha\colon \mathbb{T} \to \mathrm{Aut}(A)$ be given by

$$\alpha_\zeta = \gamma \circ \beta_\zeta \circ \gamma^{-1}$$

for ζ in $\mathbb{T}$. Then α has the continuous Rokhlin property as well. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}(K_0^\alpha(A), [1_A], K_1^\alpha(A)) &\cong (K_0(A^\alpha), [1_{A^\alpha}], K_1(A^\alpha)) \\ &\cong (K_0(B), [1_B], K_1(B)) \\ &\cong (H_0, h_0, H_1).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore α is the desired action on A with the continuous Rokhlin property, and the proof is complete. □

As a simple application, we show how we can use Theorem 5.3 to compute the number of conjugacy classes of circle actions with the continuous Rokhlin property that a given Kirchberg algebra has.

Example 5.4. Let A be a unital Kirchberg algebra satisfying the UCT, with K-theory given by

$$K_0(A) \cong K_1(A) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_6,$$

such that $[1_A]$ corresponds to $(1,0)$ in $K_0(A)$. We will compute how many conjugacy classes of circle actions with the continuous Rokhlin property on A there are. By Theorem 5.3, conjugacy classes are in bijection with direct sum decompositions of the form $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_6 \cong H_0 \oplus H_1$ that satisfy $(1,0) \mapsto (h_0, 0)$ for some h_0 in H_0. There are only 4 such direct sum decompositions, namely:

$$\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_6 \cong (\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_6) \oplus \{0\} \cong (\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2) \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3 \cong (\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3) \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2.$$

(The direct sum decompositions $\{0\} \oplus (\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_6)$, $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus (\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3)$, $\mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus (\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2)$ and $\mathbb{Z}_6 \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ do not satisfy condition (3) in Theorem 5.3.) We conclude that there are exactly 4 conjugacy classes.

It will be shown in Corollary 6.6 that the continuous Rokhlin property agrees with the Rokhlin property for circle actions on Kirchberg algebras whose K-theory is finitely generated. In particular, in the example above we can omit the word "continuous" everywhere, and the conclusion is that there are exactly 4 conjugacy classes of circle actions with the Rokhlin property on the algebra considered.

Corollary 5.5. There is a unique conjugacy class of circle actions with the Rokhlin property on $\mathcal{O}_2$. In other words, any two circle actions with the Rokhlin property on $\mathcal{O}_2$ are conjugate. This was obtained with completely different methods in Corollary 8.8 in [Gar14a].

We now give a complete answer to the question stated before Theorem 5.3.

Corollary 5.6. Any unital Kirchberg algebra (not necessarily satisfying the UCT) arises as the fixed point algebra of a circle action with the continuous Rokhlin property on some other Kirchberg algebra. In particular, a pair of triples

$$((G_0, g_0, G_1), (H_0, h_0, H_1))$$

where G_0 and G_1 are abelian groups, H_0 and H_1 are $R(\mathbb{T})$-modules, and $g_0 \in G_0$ and $h_0 \in H_0$ are distinguished elements, arises as the K-theory and equivariant K-theory of an action of the circle on a unital Kirchberg algebra with the continuous Rokhlin property if and only if the $R(\mathbb{T})$-module structures on H_0 and H_1 are trivial, and there are isomorphisms $H_0 \oplus H_1 \cong G_0 \cong G_1$, the first one of which maps $(h_0, 0)$ to g_0.

5.1. **Comments on (non-)existence of model actions.** As an application of Theorem 5.3, we explain why no obvious generalization of Theorem 3.4 in [Izu04b] is possible for circle actions with the Rokhlin property.

It is already clear from the examples exhibited in [Gar14a] that, even within the class of unital Kirchberg algebras, there is *no* C^*-algebra D with a circle action $\delta\colon \mathbb{T} \to \mathrm{Aut}(D)$ that has the Rokhlin property, and such that whenever $\alpha\colon \mathbb{T} \to \mathrm{Aut}(A)$ is an action on a unital Kirchberg algebra A with the Rokhlin property (or even the continuous Rokhlin property), then $(A, \alpha) \cong (A \otimes D, \mathrm{id}_A \otimes \delta)$. (This is the straightforward and also quite naive generalization of Theorem 3.4 in [Izu04b], since arbitrary actions of the circle are always trivial on K-theory by Lemma 7.9 in [Gar14a].) To see this, construct simple unital A$\mathbb{T}$-algebras A_1 and A_2 with Rokhlin actions of the circle as in Example 4.1 of [Gar14a], using the 2^∞ and 3^∞ UHF-patterns instead of the rational UHF-pattern. Tensor these algebras with $\mathcal{O}_\infty$,

and take the trivial circle action on $\mathcal{O}_\infty$, to obtain two unital Kirchberg algebras B_1 and B_2 such that $K_0(B_1) \cong K_1(B_1) \cong \mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{2}\right]$ and $K_0(B_2) \cong K_1(B_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{3}\right]$. K-theoretical considerations show that the only possible K-groups of a unital C^*-algebra D that is absorbed both by B_1 and B_2, are either $(\mathbb{Z}, 0)$ or $(0, \mathbb{Z})$. However, none of these groups arises as the K-groups of a unital C^*-algebra that admits a circle action with the Rokhlin property by Theorem 2.8.

The conclusion is that there is no "absorbing", or model action, for circle actions with the Rokhlin property. Nevertheless, with a weaker notion of "model action", an analogous result for circle actions on Kirchberg algebras does in fact hold, at least for actions with the continuous Rokhlin property. Denote by ψ the automorphism of $\mathcal{O}_\infty$ constructed in Theorem 3.17, and set $D = \mathcal{O}_\infty \rtimes_\psi \mathbb{Z}$. Denote by $\delta\colon \mathbb{T} \to \mathrm{Aut}(D)$ the dual action of ψ. Then δ has the continuous Rokhlin property. It is clear that δ will not be absorbed by an arbitrary action with the (continuous) Rokhlin property on a Kirchberg algebra, for example, if the algebra has K-theory $(\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_2)$. However, δ is a *generating* action, in the sense of the following proposition.

Proposition 5.7. Adopt the notation of the comments above. Let A be a unital Kirchberg algebra, and let $\alpha\colon \mathbb{T} \to \mathrm{Aut}(A)$ be an action with the continuous Rokhlin property. Then there is an equivariant isomorphism

$$\theta\colon (A, \alpha) \to (A^\alpha \otimes D, \mathrm{id}_{A^\alpha} \otimes \delta).$$

Proof. It is easy to check, using that $D^\delta = \mathcal{O}_\infty$, that the fixed point algebra of $A^\alpha \otimes D$ is isomorphic to A^α. The result follows from part (1) of Theorem 5.1. □

We point out that we did not need to assume that the algebra A in the proposition above satisfies the UCT, unlike in Theorem 3.4 in [Izu04b].

6. Comparison between the Rokhlin property and the continuous Rokhlin property

The goal of this section is to show that for large classes of C^*-algebras, the continuous Rokhlin property and the Rokhlin property are equivalent for actions of the circle. The classes for which we have confirmed this are the class of separable nuclear $\mathcal{O}_2$-absorbing unital C^*-algebras (see Proposition 6.4), the class of unital Kirchberg algebras with finitely generated K-theory (see Corollary 6.6), and the class of commutative unital C^*-algebras (see Proposition 6.14).

6.1. $\mathcal{O}_2$-absorbing algebras.

Circle actions with the Rokhlin property on nuclear $\mathcal{O}_2$-absorbing C^*-algebras were classified in [Gar14a] in terms of the induced action on the lattice of ideals. We recall the definition of the invariant.

Definition 6.1. Let A be a C^*-algebra, let G be a locally compact group, and let α and β be continuous actions of G on A. We say that α and β *induce the same action on the lattice of ideals* of A if for every $g \in G$ we have $\alpha_g(I) = \beta_g(I)$ for every closed two-sided ideal I of A.

Remark 6.2. In the context of the above definition, the actions α and β define the same action on the lattice of ideals if and only if the ideals of A generated by $\alpha_g(a)$ and $\beta_g(a)$ coincide for every $a \in A$ and for every $g \in G$.

We first need to know that any $\mathcal{O}_2$-absorbing C^*-algebra has *some* circle action with the continuous Rokhlin property (this is essentially all we need, as we will see). This follows using the absorption properties of $\mathcal{O}_2$.

Example 6.3. By tensoring the action obtained in the Example 3.6 with the trivial action on $\mathcal{O}_2$ and using Lemma 3.3, we obtain a circle action on $\mathcal{O}_2$ with the continuous Rokhlin property.

We now show the desired result.

Proposition 6.4. Let A be a unital, separable, nuclear $\mathcal{O}_2$-absorbing C^*-algebra, and let $\alpha\colon \mathbb{T} \to \mathrm{Aut}(A)$ be an action. Then α has the Rokhlin property if and only if it has the continuous Rokhlin property.

Proof. The implication "if" holds in full generality. Conversely, assume that α has the Rokhlin property. Choose an action $\gamma\colon \mathbb{T} \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_2)$ with the continuous Rokhlin property (see Example 6.3 above) and use Theorem 7.2.2 in [Rør02] to choose an isomorphism $\varphi\colon A \otimes \mathcal{O}_2 \to A$ such that the map $A \to A$ given by $a \mapsto \varphi(a \otimes 1_{\mathcal{O}_2})$ is approximately unitarily equivalent to the identity map on A. Define an action β of the circle on A by $\beta_\zeta = \varphi \circ (\alpha_\zeta \otimes \gamma_\zeta) \circ \varphi^{-1}$ for $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$. Then β has the continuous Rokhlin property by Proposition 3.3.

We claim that α and β induce the same action on the lattice of ideals of A. Given $a \in A$ and $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$, choose a sequence $(u_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of unitaries in A such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|u_n x u_n^* - \varphi(x \otimes 1_{\mathcal{O}_2})\| = 0$$

whenever $x \in \{a, \alpha_\zeta(a)\}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_\zeta(a) &= \left(\varphi \circ (\alpha_\zeta \otimes \gamma_\zeta) \circ \varphi^{-1}\right)(a) \\
&= \lim_{n\to\infty} \varphi \circ (\alpha_\zeta \otimes \gamma_\zeta)\left(\varphi^{-1}(u_n)(a \otimes 1_{\mathcal{O}_2})(\varphi^{-1}(u_n)^*)\right) \\
&= \lim_{n\to\infty} \varphi\left((\alpha_\zeta \otimes \gamma_\zeta)(\varphi^{-1}(u_n))\alpha_\zeta(a)(\alpha_\zeta \otimes \gamma_\zeta)(\varphi^{-1}(u_n)^*)\right) \\
&= \lim_{n\to\infty} \varphi\left((\alpha_\zeta \otimes \gamma_\zeta)(\varphi^{-1}(u_n))\right) u_n \alpha_\zeta(a) u_n^* \varphi\left((\alpha_\zeta \otimes \gamma_\zeta)(\varphi^{-1}(u_n))\right)^*.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\beta_\zeta(a)$ is in the ideal generated by $\alpha_\zeta(a)$. Similarly, one shows that $\alpha_\zeta(a)$ is in the ideal generated by $\beta_\zeta(a)$, and thus these two ideals agree.

It follows from Theorem 8.7 in [Gar14a] that α and β are conjugate, and hence α has the continuous Rokhlin property. □

6.2. **Kirchberg algebras.** We characterize those circle actions with the Rokhlin property on Kirchberg algebras that have the continuous Rokhlin property. We point out that no UCT assumptions are needed.

Theorem 6.5. Let A be a unital Kirchberg C^*-algebra and let $\alpha\colon \mathbb{T} \to \mathrm{Aut}(A)$ be an action with the Rokhlin property. Denote by $\check{\alpha}$ the predual automorphism of α. Then α has the continuous Rokhlin property if and only if $KK(\check{\alpha}) = 1$.

Proof. If α has the continuous Rokhlin property, then $\check{\alpha}$ is asymptotically representable by Proposition 3.11. Hence it is asymptotically inner, and $KK(\check{\alpha}) = 1$.

Conversely, assume that $KK(\check{\alpha}) = 1$. Since $\check{\alpha}$ is aperiodic by Theorem 2.9, it follows from Proposition 3.20 that it is asymptotically representable. □

We recall the construction of the PExt-group. Given abelian groups G_1 and G_2, the group $\mathrm{PExt}(G_2, G_1)$ is the subgroup of $\mathrm{Ext}(G_2, G_1)$ consisting of the pure extensions of G_1 by G_2 (see the comments before Theorem 2.8 for the definition of a pure extension). See [Sch03] for more about the PExt-group. We refer the reader to Example 8.4.14 in [Rør02] for the definition of the KL-class of an automorphism.

Corollary 6.6. Let A be a unital Kirchberg C^*-algebra and let $\alpha\colon \mathbb{T} \to \mathrm{Aut}(A)$ be an action with the Rokhlin property. Assume that $\mathrm{PExt}(K_*(A^\alpha), K_{*+1}(A^\alpha)) = 0$. Then α has the continuous Rokhlin property. In particular, if A has finitely generated K-theory, then every circle action on A with the Rokhlin property has the continuous Rokhlin property.

Proof. Since $\mathrm{PExt}(K_*(A^\alpha), K_{*+1}(A^\alpha)) = 0$, it follows that an automorphism of A^α is KK-trivial if and only if it is KL-trivial. Let $\check{\alpha}$ be the predual automorphism of α. Then $\check{\alpha}$ is approximately representable by Theorem 2.7, and in particular KL-trivial. The first part of the corollary then follows from Theorem 6.5 above.

If the K-groups of A are finitely generated, then the condition

$$\mathrm{PExt}(K_*(A^\alpha), K_{*+1}(A^\alpha)) = 0$$

is automatiacally satisfied, since the K-groups of A^α are also finitely generated by Theorem 2.8. (See also the comments below Remark 3.9 in [Gar14b].) This finishes the proof. □

In the corollary above, the condition $\mathrm{PExt}(K_*(A^\alpha), K_{*+1}(A^\alpha)) = 0$ will also be satisfied if the K-groups of A are (possibly infinite) direct sums of cyclic groups. However, it is in general unclear whether one can replace said condition with

$$\mathrm{PExt}(K_*(A), K_{*+1}(A)) = 0,$$

which is significantly easier to check in practice. The problem is that $K_*(A^\alpha)$ is not in general a direct summand in $K_*(A)$, just a subgroup.

As promised after Definition 3.1, we will exhibit an example of a circle action that has the Rokhlin property but not the continuous Rokhlin property, showing that these two notions are not equivalent in general. This can happen even on Kirchberg algebras that satisfy the UCT (although their K-theory must be infinitely generated, by Corollary 6.6).

We need to introduce some notation first. Let A be a unital C^*-algebra and let φ be an approximately inner automorphism of A. With $\iota\colon A \to A \rtimes_\varphi \mathbb{Z}$ denoting the canonical inclusion, the Pimsner-Voiculescu exact sequence for φ reduces to the short exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow K_j(A) \xrightarrow{K_j(\iota)} K_j(A \rtimes_\varphi \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow K_{1-j}(A) \longrightarrow 0 \quad j = 0, 1.$$

We denote the class of the above extensions by $\eta_j(\varphi)$ for $j = 0, 1$, and by

$$\eta\colon \overline{\mathrm{Inn}}(A) \to \mathrm{Ext}(K_1(A), K_0(A)) \oplus \mathrm{Ext}(K_0(A), K_1(A))$$

the map $\eta(\varphi) = (\eta_0(\varphi), \eta_1(\varphi))$ for $\varphi \in \overline{\mathrm{Inn}}(A)$. It is well known that η is a group homomorphism when A satisfies the UCT (the operation on $\overline{\mathrm{Inn}}(A)$ is composition), but we shall not make use of this fact here.

Example 6.7. Let $G_1 = \mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{2}\right]$, which we will regard as the abelian group generated by elements y_n with n in $\mathbb{N}$, subject to the relations

$$2y_{n+1} = y_n$$

for all n in $\mathbb{N}$. It is clear that G_1 is torsion free. Let $G_0 = \mathbb{Z}$, and let E be the abelian group generated by the set $\{x, y_n \colon n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, subject to the relations

$$2y_{n+1} = y_n + x$$

for all n in $\mathbb{N}$. There is an extension

$$0 \to G_0 \to E \to G_1 \to 0,$$

where the map $G_0 \to E$ is determined by $1 \mapsto x$, and the map $E \to G_1$ is the corresponding quotient map. It was shown in Example 5.4 in [Gar14b] that this extension is pure but not trivial (this is, it does not split). (We warn the reader that the notation we are using here differs slightly from the one used in Example 5.4 in [Gar14b].) Denote by $\xi \in \mathrm{Ext}(G_1, G_0)$ the extension class determined by E, and note that $\xi \neq 0$.

Use Elliott's classification of A$\mathbb{T}$-algebras (see [Ell93]), or the comments before Proposition 3.2.7 in [Rør02]) to find a simple, unital A$\mathbb{T}$-algebra A with real rank zero, such that $K_j(A) \cong G_j$ for $j = 0, 1$. Use Theorem 3.1 in [KK98] in the case $i = 1$ to find an approximately inner automorphism φ of A such that $\eta(\varphi) = (0, \xi)$. The proof of Theorem 3.1 in [KK98] is constructive, and the case $i = 1$ (which is presented in Subsection 3.11 in [KK98]) shows that for n in $\mathbb{N}$, there are a circle algebra A_n, an embedding $\psi_n \colon A_n \to A_{n+1}$ and a unitary u_n in A_n such that

$$\mathrm{Ad}(u_{n+1}) \circ \psi_n = \psi_n \circ \mathrm{Ad}(u_n)$$

and $\varinjlim \mathrm{Ad}(u_n) = \varphi$. It is immediate to check that such a direct limit action is approximately representable in the sense of Definition 2.6.

Denote by $\alpha \colon \mathbb{T} \to \mathrm{Aut}(A \rtimes_\varphi \mathbb{Z})$ the dual action of φ. Then α has the Rokhlin property by Proposition 3.6 in [Gar14b]. On the other hand, since $\eta(\varphi)$ is not the trivial class, we conclude that φ is not asymptotically inner (let alone asymptotically representable), and hence α does not have the continuous Rokhlin property.

The example above can be adapted to construct a circle action on a Kirchberg algebra satisfying the UCT that has the Rokhlin property but not the continuous Rokhlin property.

Example 6.8. Adopt the notation of the previous example. The automorphism φ of A obtained there is easily seen to be aperiodic, so the crossed product $A \rtimes_\varphi \mathbb{Z}$ is simple by Theorem 3.1 in [Kis81]. Set $B = A \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty$ and $\phi = \varphi \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{O}_\infty}$, which is an automorphism of B. Moreover, $K_*(A) \cong K_*(B)$ by the Künneth formula, and clearly $\eta(\phi) = \eta(\varphi)$. With $\beta \colon \mathbb{T} \to \mathrm{Aut}(B \rtimes_\phi \mathbb{Z})$ denoting the dual action of ϕ, the same argument used in Example 6.7 shows that β has the Rokhlin property and does not have the continuous Rokhlin property. Finally, note that $B \rtimes_\phi \mathbb{Z} \cong (A \rtimes_\varphi \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty$ is a Kirchberg algebra, and it satisfies the UCT because A does, since crossed products by $\mathbb{Z}$ preserve the UCT.

M. Izumi has found (see [Izu14]) examples of $\mathbb{Z}_2$-actions on $\mathcal{O}_\infty$ that are approximately representable (see Definition 3.6 in [Izu04a]) but not asymptotically representable (in the obvious sense for actions of $\mathbb{Z}_2$). The crossed products by the actions he constructed are Kirchberg algebras satisfying the UCT, so by taking the dual actions of his examples, one obtains actions of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ on Kirchberg algebras satisfying the UCT that have the Rokhlin property but not the continuous Rokhlin property (which can be defined in the obvious way, considering continuous paths of orthogonal projections that satisfy the usual conditions). We point out that in all

these examples, the Kirchberg algebra in question has infinitely generated K-theory, and he also shows that his method cannot produce similar examples with finitely generated K-groups. It seems plausible, then, that a result similar to Corollary 6.6 holds for finite abelian group actions as well, and possibly even more generally. We have, nevertheless, not explored this direction any further.

6.3. **Commutative C^*-algebras.** We turn to commutative C^*-algebras. The proofs of Proposition 6.4 and Corollary 6.6 made use of classification results for circle actions on the corresponding classes of C^*-algebras. Our proof for actions on commutative algebras uses a similar idea, and for this it will be necessary to first identify precisely what circle actions on compact spaces X induce actions on $C(X)$ that have the Rokhlin property. This is the content of our next theorem.

It is not hard to show that if $\alpha\colon \mathbb{T} \to \mathrm{Aut}(C(X))$ has the Rokhlin property, then the action of $\mathbb{T}$ on X is free. Experience with the finite group case suggests that the converse will in general not be true, and this is indeed the case, as Example 6.11 and Example 6.11 show. We will nevertheless prove, in Corollary 6.13, that the converse holds whenever X has covering dimension 1.

Recall that $\mathtt{Lt}\colon \mathbb{T} \to \mathrm{Homeo}(\mathbb{T})$ denotes the action of $\mathbb{T}$ on itself by left translation.

Theorem 6.9. Let X be a compact Hausdorff space and let $\alpha^*\colon \mathbb{T} \to \mathrm{Homeo}(X)$ be a continuous action. Then the induced action $\alpha\colon \mathbb{T} \to \mathrm{Aut}(C(X))$ has the Rokhlin property if and only if there exists an equivariant homeomorphism

$$(X, \alpha^*) \to \left(\mathbb{T} \times (X/\mathbb{T}), \mathtt{Lt} \times \mathrm{id}_{X/\mathbb{T}}\right).$$

Proof. Following standard conventions in dynamical systems, for $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$ and $x \in X$, we will abbreviate $\alpha^*_\zeta(x)$ to just $\zeta \cdot x$.

Assume that α has the Rokhlin property. Use Proposition 3.3 in [Gar14b] to choose a unitary u in $C(X)$ such that $\alpha_\zeta(u) = \zeta u$ for all ζ in $\mathbb{T}$. Equivalently, $u\colon X \to \mathbb{T}$ is a continuous function such that $u(\zeta \cdot x) = \overline{\zeta} u(x)$ for all $x \in X$ and for all $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$. (Note that this implies that α^*_ζ does not have fixed points, unless $\zeta = 1$.)

Define $j\colon X/\mathbb{T} \to X$ by $j(\pi(x)) = \overline{u(x)} \cdot x$ for x in X. Then $j(\pi(x))$ is the only point x' in the orbit of x such that $u(x') = 1$. In particular, the map j is well-defined: if $y = \zeta \cdot x$ for some $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$, then $u(y) = \zeta u(x)$ and

$$\overline{u(y)} \cdot y = \overline{\zeta u(x)} \cdot (\zeta \cdot x) = \overline{u(\zeta)} \cdot x.$$

Moreover, j is continuous since so are u and the action itself. We have

$$(\pi \circ j)(\pi(x)) = \pi(\overline{u(x)} \cdot x) = \pi(x)$$

for all $x \in X$. Finally, it is easy to check that the map $X \to X/\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T}$ given by $x \mapsto (\pi(x), u(x))$ is an equivariant homeomorphism, and that the inverse is given by $(\pi(x), \zeta) \mapsto \zeta \cdot j(\pi(x))$.

Conversely, assume that there is an equivariant homeomorphism $X \to \mathbb{T} \times (X/\mathbb{T})$ as in the statement, and denote by $j\colon X/\mathbb{T} \to X$ the restriction of the inverse to the first factor. In particular, we have $\pi \circ j = \mathrm{id}_{X/\mathbb{T}}$. Given $x \in X$, and since the action $\mathbb{T} \to \mathrm{Homeo}(X)$ is free, there is a unique $u(x) \in \mathbb{T}$ such that $x = u(x) \cdot j(\pi(x))$. The assignment $x \mapsto u(x)$ defines a function $u\colon X \to \mathbb{T}$.

We claim that u is continuous. Let $x \in X$ and let $(x_d)_{d \in D}$ a net in X such that

$x_d \to x$. Since $\mathbb{T}$ is compact, we may assume that the net $(u(x_d))_{d\in D}$ is convergent, say $u(x_d) \to w$ for some $w \in \mathbb{T}$. We want to show that $w = u(x)$. One has

$$u(x) \cdot x = j(\pi(x)) = \lim_d j(\pi(x_d)) = \lim_d u(x_d) \cdot x_d = w \cdot x,$$

which implies that $w = u(x)$. This proves the claim.

Finally, one has $u(\zeta \cdot x) = \overline{\zeta} u(x)$ for all ζ in $\mathbb{T}$ and all x in X, since

$$u(\zeta \cdot x) \cdot (\zeta \cdot x) = j(\pi(\zeta \cdot x)) = j(\pi(x)) = u(x) \cdot x = \overline{\zeta} u(x) \cdot (\zeta \cdot x).$$

Thus the unitary u in $C(X)$ satisfies the condition in Definition 2.5 for α. This finishes the proof. □

Corollary 6.10. Let X be a compact Hausdorff space and let $\alpha \colon \mathbb{T} \to \mathrm{Aut}(C(X))$ be a continuous action. Then α has the Rokhlin property if and only if there exists a compact Hausdorff space Y such that

$$(C(X), \alpha) \cong \left(C(\mathbb{T}) \otimes C(Y), \mathtt{Lt} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{C(Y)}\right).$$

If this is the case, then Y must be homeomorphic to $X/\mathbb{T}$.

Proof. This follows immediately from Theorem 6.9 and the fact that for compact Hausdorff spaces Z and W, there is a natural isomorphism $C(Z \times W) \cong C(Z) \otimes C(W)$ taking a diagonal action on $Z \times W$ to the corresponding diagonal action on $C(Z) \otimes C(W)$. □

Notice in particular that the if a circle action on X induces an action on $C(X)$ with the Rokhlin property, then the action must be free. The converse is not true, as the following examples show.

Example 6.11. Let M be the Möbius cylinder, and let $\mathbb{T}$ act on M by rotating each fiber over $\mathbb{T}$. This action is free, and the orbit space is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{T}$. However, M is not homeomorphic to $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T}$, let alone equivariantly homeomorphic, and thus this action does not have the Rokhlin property.

Non-orientability of the underlying manifold is not the reason why free actions of the circle may fail to have the Rokhlin property, as the next example shows.

Example 6.12. Fix n in $\mathbb{N}$, and let $\alpha^* \colon \mathbb{T} \to \mathrm{Homeo}(S^{2n+1})$ be the action obtained by identifying S^{2n+1} with the unit ball in $\mathbb{C}^n$, and letting $\mathbb{T}$ act on $\mathbb{C}^n$ by rotation on all coordinates. For $n = 1$, this is just the action of $\mathbb{T}$ on itself by translation, which has the Rokhlin property. For $n > 1$, the action is free, but does not have the Rokhlin property. Indeed, if one could write S^{2n+1} as a product $\mathbb{T} \times X$ for some space X, it would follow that the fundamental group $\pi_1(S^{2n+1})$ of S^{2n+1} would be non-trivial, which is a contradiction.

We have shown that the Rokhlin property implies freeness in the commutative case, and the converse fails in general. As it turns out, the converse however *does* hold if the space X has covering dimension 1. (Note that $\mathbb{T}$ cannot act freely on a zero-dimensional space.)

Proposition 6.13. Let X be a compact Hausdorff space with $\dim(X) = 1$, and let $\mathbb{T}$ act freely on X. Then the induced action on $C(X)$ has the Rokhlin property.

Proof. Assume that $\mathbb{T}$ acts freely on X and that $\dim(X) = 1$. Denote by $X/\mathbb{T}$ the orbit space, and by $\pi\colon X \to X/\mathbb{T}$ the canonical surjection. Then π is a fiber bundle because $\mathbb{T}$ is a Lie group, and thus $\dim(X/\mathbb{T}) = \dim(X) - \dim(\mathbb{T})$. In particular, the orbit space $X/\mathbb{T}$ is zero dimensional. By Theorem 8 in [Mos56], there exists a continuous map $\lambda\colon X/\mathbb{T} \to X$ such that $\pi \circ \lambda = \mathrm{id}_{X/\mathbb{T}}$. It follows that the map $X \to \mathbb{T} \times (X/\mathbb{T})$ given by $x \mapsto (\mathbb{T}x, \pi(x))$ for $x \in X$, is a homeomorphism. It is easily verified that it is also equivariant in the sense of Theorem 6.9, and hence the action of $\mathbb{T}$ on $C(X)$ has the Rokhlin property. □

We conclude this work by proving that the Rokhlin property agrees with the continuous Rokhlin property on commutative C^*-algebras.

Proposition 6.14. Let A be a commutative unital C^*-algebra and let $\alpha\colon \mathbb{T} \to \mathrm{Aut}(A)$ be an action with the Rokhlin property. Then α has the continuous Rokhlin property.

Proof. Follows immediately from Corollary 6.10, Proposition 3.3 and Example 3.5. □

References

[CH90] A. Connes and N. Higson, *Dèformations, morphismes asymptotiques et K-thèory bivariante*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Set I Math. **311** (1990), 101–106.

[Ell93] G. Elliott, *On the classification of C^*-algebras of real rank zero*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **443** (1993), 179–219.

[Gar14a] E. Gardella, *Circle actions on $\mathcal{O}_2$-absorbing C^*-algebras*, preprint, arXiv:1406.4198, 2014.

[Gar14b] ———, *Classification theorems for circle actions on Kirchberg algebras, I*, preprint, arXiv:1404.3617, 2014.

[Gar14c] ———, *Compact group actions with the Rokhlin property and their crossed products*, preprint, arXiv:1408.1946, 2014.

[HLT99] T. Houghton-Larsen and K. Thomsen, *Universal (Co) Homology Theories*, K-theory **16** (1999), 1–27.

[HW07] I. Hirshberg and W. Winter, *Rokhlin actions and self-absorbing C^*-algebras*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics **233** (2007), no. 1, 125–143.

[Izu04a] M. Izumi, *Finite group actions on C^*-algebras with the Rohlin property. I*, Duke Math. J. **122** (2004), no. 2, 233–280.

[Izu04b] ———, *Finite group actions on C^*-algebras with the Rohlin property. II*, Adv. Math. **184** (2004), no. 1, 119–160.

[Izu14] M. Izumi, in preparation, 2014.

[JO98] J. Jeong and H. Osaka, *Extremally rich C^*-crossed products and the cancellation property*, J. Aust. Math. Soc., Ser. A **64** (1998), no. 3, 285–301.

[Kas88] G. Kasparov, *Equivariant KK-theory and the Novikov conjecture*, Invent. Math. **91** (1988), no. 1, 147–201.

[Kis81] A. Kishimoto, *Outer automorphisms and reduced crossed products of simple C^*-algebras*, Commun. Math. Phys. **81** (1981), 429–435.

[KK98] A. Kishimoto and A. Kumjian, *The Ext class of an approximately inner automorphism*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **350** (1998), 4127–4148.

[KP00] E. Kirchberg and N. C. Phillips, *Embedding of exact C^*-algebras in the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_2$*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **525** (2000), 17–53.

[Mos56] P. Mostert, *Sections in principal fibre spaces*, Duke Math. Journal **23** (1956), 57–71.

[Nak00] H. Nakamura, *Aperiodic automorphisms of nuclear purely infinite simple C^*-algebras*, Ergod. Th. and Dynam. Sys. **20** (2000), 1749–1765.

[OP12] H. Osaka and N. C. Phillips, *Crossed products by finite group actions with the rokhlin property*, Math. Z. **270** (2012), 19–42.

[Phi87] N. C. Phillips, *Equivariant K-theory and freeness of group actions on C^*-algebras*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1274. Berlin etc.: Springer-Verlag. VIII, 1987.

[Phi00] ———, *A Classification Theorem for Nuclear Purely Infinite Simple C^*-algebras*, Doc. Math. **5** (2000), 49–114.

[Phi12] ———, *Equivariant semiprojectivity*, preprint, arXiv:1112.4584, 2012.

[Rør02] M. Rørdam, *Classification of nuclear, simple C^*-algebras*, Entropy in operator algebras. Berlin: Springer. Encycl. Math. Sci. 126 (VII), 2002.

[Sch03] C. Schochet, *A Pext premier: Pure extensions and* $\lim^1$ *for infinite abelian groups*, NYJM Monographs, Volume 1, New York Journal of Mathematics, 2003.

[Ska91] G. Skandalis, *Le bifoncteur de Kasparov n'est pas exact*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér I Math. (1991), no. 313, 939–941.

[Sza14] G. Szabo, *A short note on the continuous Rokhlin property and the universal coefficient theorem*, preprint, arXiv:1408.2365, 2014.

Department of Mathematics, University of Oregon, Eugene OR 97403-1222, USA.
E-mail address: gardella@uoregon.edu

Fields Institute for Research in Mathematical Sciences, 222 College Street, Toronto ON M5T 3J1, Canada.
E-mail address: egardell@fields.utoronto.ca